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Note:
The Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor Catchment Area covers the area that is within an easy walking distance of the potential mass transit alignment. Some, but not all, of this area may be considered suitable for redevelopment at some time in the future to enable more people to live or work close to the mass transit system. Should an amendment to the Sunshine Coast Planning Scheme be necessary to provide for the redevelopment of any part of this area, the proposed amendment would be subject to a subsequent and separate process, as set down in the Planning Act 2016.

Note added 12 August 2020
1 Introduction

PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) is currently working with Sunshine Coast Council (SCC or Council) as Business Case advisors and Economic and Financial advisors on the Preliminary Business Case for the Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Project (SCMT Project or the Project). As part of this role, PwC has developed this SCMT Interim Findings Report to brief SCC at the January 2020 Council meeting.

The SCMT business case program includes three levels of business case:

- Strategic Business Case (SBC)
- Preliminary Business Case (PBC)
- Detailed Business Case (DBC).

The SBC was approved by Council at its meeting of 25 July 2019 and has been transmitted to relevant Queensland and Australian Government agencies. Investment in a mass transit solution is expensive and needs to be staged. Therefore, part of the business case development process focuses on a preferred staging plan, adopting a clear first stage for comprehensive investigation. On 25 July 2019, the SBC was endorsed by Council and recommended:

“The scope of the business case is proposed to be focused on the Maroochydore to Kawana corridor… with safeguarding for network extensions and/or connections to potential future mass transit solutions in the southern coastal corridor between Kawana to Caloundra, as well as the inland corridors between Beerwah and the Sunshine Coast Airport.”

SCC is now leading the PBC which is expected to be finalised by mid-2020. In May 2019, the Queensland Government committed funds to help develop a DBC for Stage 1 of the SCMT, which will be completed before the end of 2021. The business case program is shown in Figure 1.

---

Figure 1: Business Case program and development phases

---

1 Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case. p 138.
2 Need for Project

2.1 Introduction

This section establishes the need for the Project by examining the challenges facing the Sunshine Coast as it seeks to accommodate significant population growth, while maintaining the Council’s vision to be “Australia’s most sustainable region: Healthy. Smart. Creative.”

Identifying problems or opportunities is critical to understanding the strategic drivers that a project needs to address. Developing a sound understanding of the extent, scale, cause and effect of these service needs provides a strong evidence-based foundation for developing a project solution, and ultimately investing in that project solution.

2.2 Sustainable management of population growth

South East Queensland (SEQ) is expected to continue to experience strong population growth through to 2041 and beyond, creating pressures on housing, transport, lifestyle, employment, social infrastructure and the environment. Both the Australian and Queensland Governments have recognised the challenges of managing strong forecast growth in population in a manner which is sustainable and promotes high-quality lifestyles. This includes recognising and responding to a trend towards increased urbanisation, and the social and environmental challenges that this can create.

The defining strategy which provides a framework for managing and accommodating forecast growth is the SEQ Regional Plan – ShapingSEQ (ShapingSEQ). This Queensland Government plan identifies the challenge of delivering sustainable growth in population for SEQ from 3,600,000 in 2019 to an estimated 5,349,000 people by 2041. Of the suite of strategic objectives outlined in ShapingSEQ, those with particular relevance to this analysis include:

- Focusing 60 per cent of new housing development in SEQ in existing urban areas
- Prioritising public and active transport
- Region-shaping infrastructure to increase accessibility and productivity.

2.3 The challenge of growth for the Sunshine Coast

The growth in population estimated for SEQ is approximately 1,749,000 between 2019 and 2041. Approximately 215,100 people or 12 per cent of this total growth is estimated to occur in the Sunshine Coast, increasing the 2016 population of 303,400 by over 70 per cent to approximately 518,000.

The SCC area is already one of the largest population centres in Queensland, and the tenth largest in Australia. Over the past 20 years, the population of the Sunshine Coast has grown strongly by 125,500 people or 67.7 per cent, at an average rate of 2.8 per cent p.a., as depicted in Figure 2. By comparison, Queensland’s population grew by only 35 per cent at an average of 2 per cent p.a. in the same period.

---
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Figure 2: Population growth in Sunshine Coast 1997 - 2017
Source: Queensland Regional Database; ABS Regional Population Growth; JLL Strategic Consulting

It is expected that the Sunshine Coast region’s population will continue to grow strongly, exceeding the national and state average population growth rates, as shown in Table 1. Each year the resident population experiences a net increase of about 8,300 people. By 2050, it is forecast there could be nearly 600,000 people in the SCC area.

Table 1: Comparison of forecast future population growth in Australia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Current population</th>
<th>Population growth rate p.a.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>25.5 million</td>
<td>1.6 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland</td>
<td>5.14 million</td>
<td>1.7 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Queensland</td>
<td>3.6 million</td>
<td>2.2 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunshine Coast Council</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>2.6 per cent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To accommodate the forecast population growth in the Sunshine Coast region, ShapingSEQ requires the provision of 87,000 new residential dwellings across the Council area. Owing to the existing urban settlement pattern and geographic constraints, the Sunshine Coast is facing a major challenge in how it accommodates the forecast growth in population and additional dwellings.

More people will lead to more transport demand, and the number of daily trips that start or finish in the region will increase from 1.5 million to 2.4 million trips each day over the 25 years from 2016 to 2041. Currently, the region’s population is highly dependent on cars, with 85 per cent³ of all trips made by Sunshine Coast residents are made by private vehicle, and growth in car ownership⁴ amongst the highest in Australia. This situation, if unchecked, would mean that the growth in transport demand would translate to spiraling traffic congestion and ever-increasing demands for more roads and car parking.

Further, if the Sunshine Coast were to accommodate forecast population growth primarily through urban expansion, this would result in urban encroachment on the region’s natural resources including environmentally significantly land, highly constrained land, rural and agricultural areas. The expanded urban environment would also require significant investment in the provision of infrastructure and services over a larger geographic area.

³ Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council
⁴ Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council
Both the Council and Queensland Government recognise there must be a strong focus on accommodating future population growth through urban consolidation within the existing urban footprint. This policy objective is reflected in both ShapingSEQ and the Sunshine Coast Integrated Transport Strategy and the Sunshine Coast Planning Scheme 2014.

ShapingSEQ identifies that to accommodate the forecast growth for the Sunshine Coast, 62 per cent of new dwelling growth should be delivered through urban consolidation, similar to the average SEQ region wide target of 60 per cent. This translates to an estimated 53,700 new dwellings to be located within the existing urban area of the Sunshine Coast over the next 25 years\(^5\).

### 2.4 The Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor

Council’s long-standing policy objective is to progress a comprehensive agenda to increase the ability to accommodate the majority of forecast population growth through a combination of urban consolidation and greenfield development.

Under the terms of ShapingSEQ, urban consolidation can be achieved through:

1. Development of some parcels of land that are presently not developed but that sit within existing urban areas
2. Redevelopment of existing vacant land or buildings for a higher intensity use that includes a significant proportion of residential accommodation
3. Development of new lots within the existing urban footprint, i.e., on the immediate urban fringe.

The greatest opportunity to achieve sustainable urban consolidation occurs within the 24km urban coastal corridor between Maroochydore and Caloundra, known as the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. Consistent with relevant Queensland Government and Council policies and plans, the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor holds the key to fostering more sustainable travel patterns through the greater use of public transport (PT) in the major population corridor that accommodates much of the forecast growth. This not only represents a more cost-effective solution to transport infrastructure provision but also catalyses the opportunity for supporting substantial and appropriate economic growth, while enabling greater accessibility to the lifestyle advantages the Sunshine Coast offers.

In recognition of this, and to support the strategic framework established by the growth management policies and strategies of the State and Council, the SBC identified the need to deliver integrated land use, economic and transport planning outcomes to support urban renewal in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor\(^6\).

### 2.5 Challenges

#### 2.5.1 Growing levels of road congestion

The Sunshine Coast Local Government Area (LGA) is the tenth largest population area in Australia. As noted earlier, the region’s population is expected to grow by over 70 per cent by 2041 to over 518,000 people. This population growth will inevitably translate to increasing travel demand. Currently the region’s population is significantly reliant on cars, evidenced by 85 per cent of trips being made in private vehicles. Leaving this situation unchecked will only exacerbate road congestion.

As an iconic tourist destination, the region also has to cater for very significant volumes of visitor travel. In 2018/19 there were over 13 million visits to the Sunshine Coast tourism region, comprising:

- 1.7 million international visitor nights
- 7.7 million domestic visitor nights
- 4.3 million day visits\(^7\).

---

\(^5\) ShapingSEQ, p120  
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Traffic congestion in key tourism locations on the Sunshine Coast is already exacerbated by day trippers and overnight visitors during peak holiday seasons. On weekends, day trippers also place particular strain on both the local and State-controlled road networks, including the Bruce Highway with the local road network also experiencing a higher proportion of off-peak trips compared to weekdays\(^8\). This congestion is forecast to increase significantly by 2041 as increased numbers of residents and tourists compete for road space in Caloundra, Kawana, Mooloolaba and Maroochydore. Interventions to address this congestion may include road upgrades, however, this would further entrench the region’s dependency on cars, fundamentally change the urban layout of the Sunshine Coast region and adversely impact the amenity and liveability of the region.

Strong growth in visitation is also expected to continue in line with national and SEQ population growth trends. The expansion of the Sunshine Coast Airport, along with development of the region’s major tourist attractions, add to the accessibility and visitor appeal of the region. While major gateways to the Sunshine Coast like the Sunshine Coast Airport and the Bruce Highway will facilitate access by visitors to the region, once here, visitors need good access to key destinations and services locally. It is clear that a region heavily congested with vehicular traffic would detract from its popularity as a tourism destination.

The region’s industry base is also expanding and becoming more diverse, with approximately 31,000 local businesses providing over 149,000 jobs, with more than 23,000 jobs created in the last five years in the seven high-value industries. Building on the same natural assets that make the Sunshine Coast a magnet for tourists, the region has generated thousands of jobs since 2013 in the sectors of health and wellbeing, aviation and aerospace, agribusiness, professional services and knowledge industries, innovative manufacturing and education and research. Approximately 91 per cent of people employed in the Sunshine Coast region also reside here.

Congestion is currently occurring in key areas on the Sunshine Coast in peak times, most notably on Nicklin Way, Sunshine Motorway and Caloundra Road, as well as other key routes where future jobs and dwellings are to be accommodated within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. There are limited arterial and higher standard road corridors in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, and the existing corridors have limited capacity for widening without significant impact on adjacent properties. The Council has plans to increase the capacity of Brisbane Road at Mooloolaba to four lanes in 2020 and while this will alleviate congestion in this route, it will not encourage mode shift to PT, without a separate investment in PT infrastructure or services.

The cost of road congestion for the Sunshine Coast LGA is forecast to be approximately $3 billion per annum by 2041 and approximately $1 billion per annum from Maroochydore to Kawana, in nominal terms. As the Sunshine Coast region grows, demand on the road network will continue to rise, and without intervention, there is a risk that this situation will progressively become unmanageable.

2.5.2 Accelerating trend towards urban expansion

The population of the Sunshine Coast is forecast to grow from 303,400 in 2016 to over 518,000 people by 2041. ShapingSEQ forecasts the region will require a total of over 212,877 dwellings to accommodate its population, an increase of 87,000 dwellings from 2016\(^9\), as demonstrated in Figure 3.

---

\(^8\) Sunshine Coast Council (2018), Integrated Transport Strategy
\(^9\) ShapingSEQ, p120
To meet housing demand for the increasing population, three urban expansion areas are identified within the Sunshine Coast region: Palmview, Caloundra South and Beerwah East. The emerging expansion areas at Palmview and Caloundra South contain sufficient supply to meet the majority of expected demand up to 2031\textsuperscript{10}, subject to take up rates and land availability. Future urban expansion has been identified through *ShapingSEQ* to be accommodated at Beerwah East to further support the demand for housing within the region.

There is a strong evidence base demonstrating the net benefits that consolidated housing provides for the community when compared to urban expansion. The following costs are specifically attributable to urban expansion:

- Non-urban land consumption – with less non-urban land being available for productive uses such as agriculture, recreational, environmental and aesthetic uses.
- Infrastructure connection costs – particularly with respect to transport and utilities infrastructure, but also potentially in terms of social infrastructure service provision.
- Transport congestion costs – as greenfield residents are distantly located from jobs and services, lengthy commuting times and distances result, causing significant social and environmental costs.
- Labour force productivity costs – as agglomeration economies and human capital benefits are thwarted by spatial dislocation and congestion.
- Reduced housing choice – as constrained infill housing options fail to match the latent demand for inner and middle ring suburban living, with prospective residents prepared to trade-off private space with improved accessibility to jobs and services.

Further, the region’s urban expansion areas are predominantly to the south and west of the coastal urban communities. These areas are likely to be heavily dependent on private motor vehicle transport, given they are remote from existing major employment nodes, key service centres and recreational attractions, necessitating even more investment in the road network and parking spaces. The alternative to continuous expansion of road infrastructure and car-oriented urban areas is to provide a high-quality integrated mass transit system that:

- Connects the region’s major housing and employment and coastal recreation areas
- Supports urban renewal and consolidation of a significant proportion of the region’s new housing within its walking catchment
- Connects to the rest of SEQ through the existing regional rail at Beerwah.

The SBC developed four project objectives for the SCMT Project to underpin delivery of a mass transit system\textsuperscript{11}:

1. Support the Sunshine Coast’s productivity, employment growth and self-containment aspirations by supporting existing and emerging strategic centres

---

\textsuperscript{10} Urban Transformation – Directions Paper for the Future of the Sunshine Coast, 2017, Sunshine Coast Council, p24

\textsuperscript{11} Sunshine coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case. p 100
Maintain, and where possible, improve amenity and livability and provide a catalyst for positive change by unlocking urban renewal opportunities

Improve accessibility, convenience and resilience of the integrated transport network

Provide a deliverable and value for money solution.

2.5.3 High dependency on private motor vehicle transport

Residents are heavily dependent on private car use. In 2016, 68 per cent of working residents in the region drove themselves to work and a further 25 per cent were passengers in a car, however, only 4 per cent of journeys to work are taken on PT\textsuperscript{12}. The remaining trips (mode share of approximately 3 per cent) are taken using active transport (i.e. walking, cycling etc.)\textsuperscript{13}. Figure 4 depicts the proportion of Sunshine Coast mode share for travel to work.

Without intervention to provide more sustainable transport modes and reduce car dependency, an additional 787,000 daily vehicle trips are forecast on the Sunshine Coast transport network by 2041, which represents a 70 per cent increase from 2016\textsuperscript{14}. Lack of PT accessibility and congestion resulting from car dependency has the potential to constrain growth, hamper productivity improvements, adversely impact on lifestyle and community amenity and lead to sub-optimal urban renewal outcomes. Dispersed land uses and inadequate PT provision within the region will be key drivers of these outcomes without appropriate intervention. The abundance of parking in close proximity to key centres and the lack of alternative travel options also influence high private vehicle use\textsuperscript{15}.

Council has set a goal for PT mode share for the region to be 10 per cent by 2041, however, given the current rate of PT usage has fallen by 2 per cent between 2013 and 2016\textsuperscript{17}, the 2041 PT mode share will only be achieved if PT usage grows by a significant average of 6.6 per cent each year for 25 years.

Although it is an emerging major regional city, the Sunshine Coast presently has a PT system more suited to a regional town. The existing PT network is relatively basic in comparison to the service requirements to support forecast population growth. There has been limited service expansion and investment in PT to match the urban and population growth. It is very difficult to encourage people to elect to travel by PT if it is not an attractive, available or reliable alternative\textsuperscript{18}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{scc_mode_share.png}
\caption{Sunshine Coast mode share for travel to work\textsuperscript{16}}
\end{figure}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{12} Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council, p24
\item \textsuperscript{13} Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council, p22
\item \textsuperscript{14} Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council, p35
\item \textsuperscript{15} Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council, p27
\item \textsuperscript{16} Department of Transport and Main Roads (2017), How Queensland Travels report
\item \textsuperscript{17} Calculated using Sunshine Coast total weekday boarding data received from TMR by Veitch Lister Consulting
\item \textsuperscript{18} Integrated Transport Strategy, 2018, Sunshine Coast Council, p28
\end{itemize}
If supplemented incrementally, the present PT system may be adequate to play a small role in facilitating connectivity within the region. However, with significant projected population growth and current domination of the private vehicle usage, incremental improvements to PT will not achieve the desired and required major shift in mode share. Major improvements to the PT system are required for the region to avoid spiralling congestion and loss of urban amenity in the next 10 to 15 years.

### 2.5.4 Self-containment and connectivity to employment

The need to pursue the development and growth of high-value industries to support continued high levels of employment self-containment is critical. The Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor has very high levels of self-containment. Over 50 per cent of Maroochydore residents work within the Maroochydore region, with a further 17 per cent travelling to Kawana for work, and 21 per cent travelling to other areas within the Sunshine Coast region. Significantly, only 3 per cent of Maroochydore residents are travelling to Brisbane, 2 per cent are travelling to the Noosa LGA and less than 1 per cent are travelling to Caboolture.

However, connectivity between key employment, tourism and health centres via PT is limited. Transport connectivity is important to attracting new employers and employees as easy access to employment via efficient transport options will improve the attractiveness of the Sunshine Coast as a place to work and live. It also supports increased productivity through agglomeration. Agglomeration is when people and businesses co-locate because they draw benefit from being in close proximity to each other and thus, they become more productive through collaboration, competition and access to a larger number of employer and employee pools.

Lack of effective PT connectivity to strategic economic precincts will impact the region’s ability to achieve employment containment and growth targets. If planned activity centres within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor remain functionally separated, there will be fewer and less diverse interactions between businesses and people. In future, the lack of physical connectivity between the major activity centres of the region, reduced business-to-business interaction (reduced agglomeration and clustering opportunities) and the lack of reliable access to local workforce will be key factors effecting new growth in employment opportunities and development of the region’s key employment industries.

Without the development of the ‘step-change’ projects, and the other employment generating initiatives outlined in the *Sunshine Coast Regional Economic Development Strategy*¹⁹, there is a risk the Sunshine Coast will not achieve its economic goals and the long-term sustainability of the local economy will be at risk.

This, in turn, will reduce self-containment, decrease household income growth and constrain local productivity and employment opportunities. It will create an increased demand for people to either travel to different areas for employment (such as Brisbane) or to move to those areas (which will reduce demand for core industries and further reduce local employment opportunities, thus creating a negative, self-reinforcing cycle of economic downturn).

### 2.6 Summary

There is a clear need for a coordinated economic, land use and transport solution that supports the region’s economic, social, environmental and transport goals. There is a need to ensure the region’s economy continues to develop to attract investment to the region with an accessible and productive workforce. Suitable urban consolidation needs to be attractive and to allow residents to live closer to where they work. To be successful, this urban consolidation must be supported by a high-quality integrated mass transit system that:

- Connects the region’s major housing and employment and coastal recreation areas
- Supports urban renewal and consolidation of a significant proportion of the region’s new housing in its walking catchment
- Connects to the rest of SEQ through the existing regional rail at Beerwah.

---

3 Land Use Analysis

3.1 Introduction

This chapter reports on the results of land use analysis changes that may result from differing levels of mass transit intervention by comparing a no-intervention (trend) land use scenario with an intervention land use scenario.

Council has completed a detailed assessment of the opportunity for land use change within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor in response to investment in the SCMT Project. This land use opportunity is quantified as an increase in the dwellings, population and jobs in the immediate walking catchment of the proposed mass transit stations, which directly addresses one of the SCMT project objectives – to improve liveability and provide a catalyst for positive change by unlocking urban renewal opportunities.

The land use analysis:
- Identifies and provides context for the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor
- Outlines the methodology employed to define and compare the land use scenarios
- Presents the results of the land use scenario analysis with and without intervention to assess the additional urban renewal resulting from the intervention i.e. the introduction of the SCMT Stage 1 Project
- Provides a summary of the population and employment redistribution analysis
- Summarises the key findings of the analysis.

3.2 Defining the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor

ShapingSEQ identifies the need for balanced and managed growth, including setting benchmarks for consolidated (i.e. infill development) and expansion (i.e. greenfield) development of 62 per cent and 38 per cent respectively for the Sunshine Coast. To cater for increased urban consolidation, ShapingSEQ identifies an urban corridor from Maroochydore to Caloundra, supported by a high frequency passenger transport service. This would result in the urban corridor between Maroochydore and Caloundra becoming a cohesive corridor of distinctive, high-quality urban environments that are typically ‘Sunshine Coast’ in character and which optimise accessibility to future passenger services. Mixed use development opportunities, housing choice and affordability, would also be maximised.

Alongside its other objectives, the SCMT Project aims to give direct effect to this policy of urban consolidation within the Maroochydore to Caloundra urban corridor, delivering a coordinated agenda of:
- Urban transformation that increases housing choice and affordability and reduces the need to travel
- Efficient high-quality mass transit that can offer a realistic alternative to car travel.

As part of its input to ShapingSEQ, Council developed the Urban Transformations Directions Paper which identifies the transformation of the Maroochydore to Caloundra corridor as a key urban renewal initiative. Council has also undertaken a range of detailed investigations for mass transit dating back to 2012. At its meeting on 23 April 2015, SCC confirmed a recommended PT corridor (nominally for light rail) as a basis for further feasibility and business case analysis. This corridor extends from Maroochydore to Caloundra, providing the basis for a mass transit spine to connect the major destinations within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor.

Through the SBC, the urban transformation corridor between Maroochydore and Caloundra has been designated as the ’Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor’. This corridor has been identified as providing a significant opportunity to accommodate the ShapingSEQ required infill development target. It is approximately 24km in length, occupies an area of approximately 20 Sunshine Coast Council. 2019. Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case. pp 100 -101

21 See https://haveyoursay.sunshinecoast.qld.gov.au/sunshine-coast-mass-transit-project for more detail
4,670 hectares and currently accommodates over 80,000 people\textsuperscript{23}. The Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor incorporates the major road corridors of Aerodrome Road, Alexandra Parade, Brisbane Road and Nicklin Way.

Investment in a mass transit solution is expensive and needs to be staged. Therefore, part of the business case development process focuses on a preferred staging plan, adopting a clear first stage for comprehensive investigation. On 25 July 2019, the SBC was endorsed by Council and recommended:

“\textit{The scope of the business case is proposed to be focused on the Maroochydore to Kawana corridor… with safeguarding for network extensions and/or connections to potential future mass transit solutions in the southern coastal corridor between Kawana to Caloundra, as well as the inland corridors between Beerwah and the Sunshine Coast Airport.}”\textsuperscript{24}

The SBC recommended that staged development of mass transit should proceed in the following order:

1. \textbf{The coastal northern sector of the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor between Maroochydore and Kawana.} Investing here as a priority provides the strongest basis for achieving key policy goals of supporting urban consolidation and employment growth and managing congestion. Since it contains the major employment and business growth centres of the region, this area provides the greatest opportunity to build a connected, lifestyle community with diverse housing and employment choices, all linked by local mass transit.

2. \textbf{The growth corridor between Kawana and Beerwah, which includes the inland southern sector of the preserved mass transit corridor known as “CAMCOS”.} This southern sector of CAMCOS contains significant planned residential and employment growth. Mass transit investment here will link this growth area to Kawana and Maroochydore and also link to the North Coast Railway at Beerwah for service to Brisbane. This southern sector of the CAMCOS corridor should represent a high priority for mass transit investment once connectivity between Maroochydore and Kawana is achieved.

3. \textbf{The coastal southern sector of the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor from Kawana to Caloundra.} This sector provides integrated land use and transport opportunities, and connections from Caloundra to the regional rail services to Brisbane. This is an important area for ongoing urban transformation that should be progressed as soon as possible after completion of the priorities described in points (1) and (2) above.

4. \textbf{The central sector of CAMCOS from Maroochydore to Kawana.} This sector offers the opportunity to provide a direct rapid transit connection between Maroochydore and the major urban growth communities on the southern perimeter of the Sunshine Coast region, as well connecting the Maroochydore City Centre to Brisbane. The option of this connection should therefore be kept open as a long-term priority.

5. \textbf{The northern sector of CAMCOS from Maroochydore to the Sunshine Coast Airport.} Development of mass transit here would connect the growing Sunshine Coast Airport to its local southern catchment, through the Maroochydore City Centre. This will support interstate and overseas air connections to underpin the region’s ongoing development success. Initially this connection can be provided by a dedicated limited stops bus service to Maroochydore similar to the TransLink 777 service that operates on the Gold Coast. A dedicated fixed track mass transit connection would be a long-term priority.

Having regard to the high priority attached to Areas 1 and 3 (above), land use planning for urban transformation needs to focus on the whole Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, from Maroochydore to Caloundra. This corridor would be connected by a major new investment in local urban mass transit, adopting technology that is appropriate for safe integration within the urban fabric.

The SBC recommended\textsuperscript{25}:

- The concept for the mass transit system must be based on a technology that offers a high-quality service capable of attracting a significant proportion of passengers out of cars. The mass transit technology must also have a demonstrated capability to act as a catalyst that will engage developers and the broader community in an urban

\textsuperscript{23} Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case.

\textsuperscript{24} Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case. p 138.

\textsuperscript{25} Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Strategic Business Case. p 20.
...transformation process that results in a significant proportion of new quality housing choices being located within the mass transit catchment.

- Modern light rail operating in a segregated right of way is a technology with proven capabilities, however, other more cost-effective options will need to be analysed in the next phase of the business case process.

Planning for the PBC has progressed the assessment of appropriate mass transit technologies, and this is discussed in Chapter 5 of this report. The work to date has supported previous findings that a staged urban mass transit system can be feasibly developed in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor to support the sustainable development of the region.

Planning to date has determined a reference SCMT Project within a mass transit corridor extending 22km (Phases 1 and 3 above) with up to 25 stations linking strategic centres including Maroochydore, Kawana and Caloundra. As part of the PBC, Council is currently undertaking additional analysis to confirm the findings of the SBC which recommend the stages for the SCMT Project. The two stages have been identified:

- **Stage 1** (16 stations, approximately 13km) - extending from Maroochydore to the Sunshine Coast University Hospital precinct
- **Stage 2** (9 stations, approximately 9km) - extending from the Sunshine Coast University Hospital precinct to Caloundra.

The SBC identified that the most effective development of the SCMT Project included the Maroochydore to Kawana corridor (Strategy B). This is being validated throughout the PBC. The project team has assessed the land use opportunities for both Stages 1 and 2 utilising the two following staging strategies for the *intervention* land use:

- **Strategy A** - The full corridor (Stages 1 and 2) Maroochydore to Caloundra defined in Figure 5 below
- **Strategy B** - Stage 1 Maroochydore to Sunshine Coast University Hospital Precinct only.

This land use analysis (in line with the rest of the PBC) assesses **Strategy B** only and refers to the Stage 1 corridor as the SCMT Stage 1 Land Use Corridor. The SCMT Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor is shown in Figure 5.

### 3.3 Methodology for the land use analysis

**3.3.1 General Approach and Considerations**

The land use analysis was designed to determine the total quantum of urban renewal (dwellings, population and jobs) that can be unlocked by the SCMT Stage 1 Project. Analysis involved the development of two future land use scenarios:

- ‘**No-Intervention (trend)**’ land use scenario
- ‘**Intervention**’ land use scenario that could be compared to quantify the urban renewal opportunity enabled by the introduction of the mass transit project, in terms of both development capacity unlocked and forecast take-up.

The **No-Intervention (trend)** land use scenario represents a business-as-usual approach to...
planning and transport infrastructure provision in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. The assessment has been completed by SCC and its technical advisors and identified the likely property market take-up of development entitlements under existing planning controls. The assessment included a detailed analysis of historical residential and commercial market supply and demand across the Sunshine Coast and, more specifically, within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. Broadly, the No-Intervention (trend) land use scenario has been developed in response to a “do-minimum” transport network, which does not involve the introduction of SCMT Stage 1.

In contrast, the Intervention land use scenario has been developed in response to a ‘with project’ transport network, which involves the introduction of SCMT Stage 1, as well as changes to planning controls that unlock existing land supply and repurposing options in response to land market conditions as a result of the SCMT Stage 1 investment.

Each scenario involved the identification of the potential development capacity as well as the likely take-up of that capacity. The potential development capacity is defined as the theoretical quantity of development that could occur under the planning scheme if all development opportunities were maximised. The likely take-up is defined as the quantity of development capacity that is forecast to actually be delivered by the market in the specified timeframe (2016 to 2041). The forecast take-up rate for growth under the Intervention land use scenario assumes that market impacts would commence in 2025, that is, 12 months prior to completion of construction of Stage 1 of SCMT in 2026. Other considerations include:

- The analysis in this report has been performed assuming a Light Rail Transit (LRT) intervention, given the stage of the Project. LRT is a proven technology in achieving take-up of urban renewal opportunities. A Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) land use scenario is being developed and will be incorporated into the final PBC analysis and report.
- The Queensland Government Statistician’s Office (QGSO) projections for the Sunshine Coast and the urban corridor assumes infrastructure upgrades, including a mass transit spine.
- The QGSO projections have been maintained at the Sunshine Coast level. Where the Intervention land use scenario forecasts additional growth in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, this growth has been redistributed from outside the corridor with the total population maintained at 518,000.

### 3.3.2 Nine Step Assessment

A detailed nine-step assessment was used to define the land use scenarios, their development capacities and likely forecast growth projections to 2041 for each station catchment within the corridor. This sequential process is summarised as follows:

1. Determine station locality and catchment
2. Conduct a constraints analysis to identify the likely impediments to further growth
3. Identify existing constrained sites and opportunity sites that could contribute to the transformation of the station
4. Determine the No-Intervention (trend) capacity by identifying development capacity under current planning controls
5. Develop the urban design considerations and future land use plan for each catchment
6. Develop the Intervention land use scenario
7. Identify the additional capacity unlocked by the SCMT Project
8. Conduct a market-based assessment to forecast the likely take-up of both the No Intervention (trend) and the Intervention land use scenario capacities
9. Determine the total urban renewal unlocked by the SCMT Project and identify forecast growth based on take-up rates for the No-Intervention (trend) and the Intervention land use scenarios.

*Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)*

---

26 See section 6.3 below for more detail.
3.4 Land use analysis

3.4.1 Urban renewal unlocked by No Intervention (trend) and Intervention land use scenarios

The land use scenario capacities and forecast take-up in 2041 for both the No-Intervention (trend) land use scenario and the Intervention land use scenario are detailed in Table 2. The 2016 dwelling, population and jobs reflect the ABS 2016 Census information. The results demonstrate that the Intervention land use scenario unlocks significant additional capacity and additional urban renewal above the No Intervention (trend) land use scenario. Specifically, the Intervention land use scenario unlocks 9,575 dwellings, 19,597 new residents, and 6,336 new jobs over and above the No Intervention (trend) land use scenario, by 2041.

The forecast take-up is lower than the theoretical capacity as it is reflective of historical trends and future demands on a catchment-by-catchment basis. It shows that the land use changes and the SCMT project together unlock significant demand for housing and thus jobs to largely service new residents along the corridor.

Table 2: SCMT Stage 1 land use corridor No Intervention (trend) vs Intervention land use scenario capacity and take-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016 (ABS/QGSO)</th>
<th>2041 NO INTERVENTION CAPACITY</th>
<th>2041 INTERVENTION CAPACITY</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL CAPACITY UNLOCKED</th>
<th>2041 NO INTERVENTION TAKEUP</th>
<th>2041 INTERVENTION TAKEUP</th>
<th>ADDITIONAL GROWTH UNLOCKED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings</td>
<td>11,209</td>
<td>21,533</td>
<td>50,781</td>
<td>29,228</td>
<td>17,436</td>
<td>27,011</td>
<td>9,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs</td>
<td>30,973</td>
<td>61,183</td>
<td>85,092</td>
<td>23,909</td>
<td>49,334</td>
<td>55,670</td>
<td>6,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>23,222</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35,475</td>
<td>55,072</td>
<td>19,597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 graphically compares the No Intervention (trend) and Intervention land use scenario dwelling and job capacities and forecast 2041 growth. The LRT-Intervention land use scenario is forecast to result in additional potential dwelling capacity of more than 29,000 dwellings, and additional potential job capacity of more than 23,000 jobs.

The overall performance of the residential, retail and commercial markets relative to SCMT Stage 1 relate to multiple factors, with the ultimate urban renewal potential that may be unlocked in the corridor requiring an integrated approach addressing appropriate built-form outcomes, local amenity, and an implementation framework and/or land-use planning control amendments that support and promote the desired outcome. Without the combined impact of proactive rezoning implementation measures (e.g. a Priority Development Area (PDA) or planning scheme amendments) designed to concurrently improve and support existing retail and recreational amenity, deliver market certainty and provide for master planned precinct-based outcomes, the expected benefits from SCMT Stage 1 may be reduced.

* Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)
3.4.2 Benchmarks – Rail Infrastructure projects in Australia

Since 2000, Australia has seen a variety of light rail infrastructure projects implemented, aimed at improving accessibility between residential and employment hubs, reducing congestion on major roads, or catalysing urban regeneration projects, often resulting in increased population density within the areas serviced by the rail networks. To provide context to the potential upper and lower take-up rates which may be achieved within the corridor, a detailed assessment of the historic market performance of five identified light rail corridors (Gold Coast Light Rail Stage 1, Sydney Inner West Light Rail Stages 2 and 3, Canberra Metro Stage 1, and the Glenelg Entertainment Centre Extension) was undertaken.

While each of the benchmark case studies demonstrate that urban regeneration has occurred from introduction of the respective rail projects (despite significant barriers in many cases) the case studies highlight the need for planning intervention in order to realise maximum benefits from urban consolidation opportunities that are enabled in proximity to transit stations and corridors. Analysis highlights that station catchments where changes to planning controls (namely the use of the PDA for Gold Coast Light Rail Stage 1) had been implemented with sufficient time to enable market response to the light rail infrastructure had experienced more significant take-up than catchments where no intervention had occurred.

3.5 Redistribution of intervention scenario growth from within Sunshine Coast LGA

The Intervention land use scenario results in greater dwelling, population and employment growth in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor than would otherwise occur without a major intervention to improve mass transit options. Without intervention, this additional growth would likely otherwise have occurred in other localities within the Sunshine Coast LGA. The modelling approach redistributed the difference in population and broad industry category of employment (i.e. retail, service, professional, industry and other) relative to travel zone forecasts. The redistribution model is informed by two key factors – the planning intent of the travel zone and the level of transport network constraint.

The results of the modelling indicate that significant population redistribution into the corridor is drawn from Eumundi and west of Beerwah, while the employment impacts are less significant, aside from drawing jobs away from the Sunshine Coast Public Hospital to a degree.

3.6 Urban transformation that suits the Sunshine Coast lifestyle

Increased growth in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor will only be achieved if the amenity, liveability, employment and lifestyle offering is demonstrated to be attractive to current and future resident. New consolidated urban development in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor can be designed to achieve a low-key lifestyle city that maintains and enhances the Sunshine Coast’s valued character and identity through:

- A series of urban villages containing a mix of uses which create local community hubs and which are connected to the major centres of Maroochydore, Kawana and Caloundra
- Providing for a range of desirable housing choices suitable for an affordable coastal oriented lifestyle
- Containing building height and site cover to deliver a built form that is consistent with a low-key approach to urbanisation, without excessive high-rise development
- Increasing density and development around stops as a function of the transit system and the increased amenity that it offers
- Providing a public realm that supports activated, vibrant places that are green, accessible, inclusive and reflect local character
- Supporting healthy and active lifestyles by incorporating extensive active transport (i.e. walking and cycling) networks and enhanced access to open space and recreation facilities
- Capitalising on opportunities for views, aspect and walk-up access to beaches and estuaries
- Mandating residential building types that foster outdoor living and allow for interaction with pedestrian activity at street level
- Promoting climate friendly building design to reduce energy needs, capitalise on prevailing breezes, sun and shade
• Providing for renewable energy generation and water conservation and reuse as integral features of private and public buildings
• Delivering extensive native sub-tropical landscaping in streets, public spaces and private properties.

3.7 Further measures to support urban transformation

Expert analysis to support the PBC has confirmed that mass transit, combined with a proactive land use response, has the potential to facilitate a fundamental shift towards new infill renewal and improved take-up rates. These expert reports caution, however, that it is clear the process of achieving urban transformation in the face of accelerating trends for urban expansion will be difficult. There will also be a need to provide a range of stimulus measures and incentives to ensure the timely activation of the desired types of new residential and commercial infill development and to maximise the amenity and liveability of the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor.

An “Urban Renewal Facilitation Toolkit” is being prepared to provide Council with a set of intervention levers, policy directions and incentives to be implemented in conjunction with mass transit and land use changes. This “toolkit” can assist the residential take-up rates and the ‘consolidation’ growth benchmarks under the ShapingSEQ to be achieved while retaining the Sunshine Coast’s character and identity. The implementation of these “toolkit” measures alongside the mass transit investment will be essential to ensure the overall success of the urban transformation agenda in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor and to achieve the ShapingSEQ growth targets.

3.8 Summary

The land use analysis undertaken to date for the SCMT Project has found that the right type of mass transit can act as a catalyst for the consolidation of new housing and business within its catchment. This will enable a higher proportion of dwelling growth to occur within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor and support access to key destinations and employment nodes without having to drive long distances in private motor vehicles. In particular:

• The SCMT Project will deliver a significant region-shaping opportunity when combined with complementary and appropriate changes to planning controls
• Investment in the SCMT will help drive a stronger, more competitive and sustainable economy and generate substantial and lasting economic, social and environmental benefits
• Project benefits include a combination of the initial increased demand for dwellings serviced by the new infrastructure and the benefits that come from changes in land use zoning and increased development densities
• By 2041 the LRT-Intervention land use scenario would result in take up of:
  – 9,575 additional dwellings in the corridor beyond forecast growth without intervention, as a key contributor to the region meeting its 62 per cent urban consolidation target
  – a population of 19,597 additional people in the corridor beyond forecast growth without intervention
  – 6,336 additional jobs in the corridor beyond forecast growth without intervention.
• A fixed infrastructure and high frequency solution would provide greater certainty for residential, retail and commercial property investment
• There would be significant additional dwellings in almost all station catchments as a result of the mass transit project, and significant employment activation in Maroochydore and Kawana (Point Cartwright Drive precinct)
• The SCMT Project could potentially unlock greater dwelling, population and employment growth through additional market influence, however, the analysis has taken a conservative view to reflect historical residential and commercial market demand along the corridor
• The process of achieving a major swing to urban consolidation will be difficult and will require the support of additional measures envisaged in the “Urban Renewal Facilitation Toolkit” alongside the major investment in mass transit.

* Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)
4 Options Assessment

4.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the options assessment process resulting in a shortlist of options to be taken forward to the detailed economic and financial analysis for the Preliminary Business Case.

The options assessment process delivered for the SCMT Project Stage 1 was undertaken over multiple stages, leveraging the work undertaken during the SBC phase and previous transport planning undertaken for SCC by expert technical advisors.

The options assessment process was delivered in accordance with best practice guidance issued by Infrastructure Australia, Building Queensland and Queensland Treasury. The process undertaken included the following key steps:

1. Identifying and costing the problem
2. Identification of the current and future states i.e. the 2016 situation and the projected “do minimum” scenario
3. Options identification
4. Scoping and development of the options, including transport modelling and cost estimation
5. Quantitative MCA to identify the preferred option(s) for consideration through economic assessment.

4.2 Cost of the problem

During the SBC phase of the project, and to inform the completion of the IA ‘Template for Stage 1: Problem Identification and Prioritisation’, transport and economic modelling was undertaken to monetise and quantify the problems identified. Through this process it was estimated that the cost of the problem (nominal), relating to congestion (including externality costs) was:

- $500 million per annum for the entire Sunshine Coast LGA in 2016, rising to $3.0 billion per annum in 2041
- $350 million per annum for the urban corridor from Maroochydore to Caloundra, rising to $2.2 billion in 2041
- $160 million per annum for the urban corridor from Maroochydore to Kawana, rising to $1.0 billion in 2041.

In addition to these costs directly relating to congestion, the analysis also discussed the relative cost to the economy and to government and the private sector (in terms of infrastructure provision) of greenfield developments as opposed to infill growth. This cost of the problem establishes the boundaries for the funding envelope that could be considered. Given its significance, this cost justifies a fairly substantial intervention to resolve and address this cost.

4.3 The do-minimum transport investment scenario

The do-minimum transport investment scenario includes the roads and PT within the study area. The future road and PT network infrastructure upgrades include only those upgrades that are:

- Funded and/or committed projects which form the basis of the economic analysis
- Funded and planned projects which form the basis of the planning analysis, where upgrades are currently planned by the Council and/or the Department of Transport and Main Roads (TMR) and are expected to be funded through recurrent funding
- Projects that represent do-minimum intervention including minor works and maintenance.

4.4 QGSO demographic scenario adopted for options testing

The Land Use analysis in Chapter 3 demonstrated that differing land use scenarios will result in 2041, depending on the level of mass transit investment. However, it would be difficult and confusing to rely on these differing scenarios to assess the performance of investment options. Accordingly, a single projected demographic scenario as developed by the Queensland Government Statisticians Office (QGSO) for the Sunshine Coast LGA in 2041, has been adopted for the
Options Assessment. The QGSO distribution of population for the Sunshine Coast LGA is based on inputs from Council that assume certain infrastructure upgrades including a major investment to provide a mass transit spine in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor.

4.5 Options identification and longlist – SCMT Stage 1

The options identification process for the PBC focussed on the Stage 1 corridor from Maroochydore to Sunshine Coast University Hospital precinct (SCUH) to build on the findings and recommendations of the SBC

4.5.1 SBC options assessment and SCMT program

The SBC follows on from the Council’s A line in the sand report and is the first stage of the formal business case assessment of the Project. The purpose of the SBC was to:

- Identify, articulate and analyse the current and forecast economic, land use and transport opportunities and challenges on the Sunshine Coast to effectively understand what is needed to achieve the strategic aspirations for the region
- Identify and assess a range of initiatives that could respond to the opportunities, challenges and service needs
- Recommend a way forward to the next stage of the Project’s assessment, being a PBC.

The SBC identified and assessed 16 current and potential initiatives that are, and could be progressed, by Council or the Queensland Government. The initiatives included:

- 10 non-capital initiatives covering reform and better use
- Six capital investment initiatives to improve mass transit.

Non capital initiative options

An assessment of the non-capital initiatives showed the following:

- Eight current initiatives are not sufficient in their own right to address the challenges of growth management on the Sunshine Coast. They will form the basis of the “base case” or “without project case” against which any future investment could be measured.
- An initiative based solely on land use reform will not adequately address challenges nor fully realise desired benefits. However, in order to achieve urban renewal policy goals, a land use strategy must accompany any preferred mass transit solution as the integration of land use and transport planning is critical to achieving optimal economic and social policy outcomes for the region.
- Implementation of significant road travel demand management and restraint of car parking supply would be insufficient to respond to the major growth in travel expected.

4.5.2 PBC options identification

The SBC recommended that a first stage of mass transit delivered in the Priority Area 1 from Maroochydore to Kawana was the best option for the development of PT on the Sunshine Coast and the preferred first stage of intervention. The development of options for the PBC was undertaken by the project team, considering the technologies and initiatives that could feasibly be delivered to realise the benefits sought from the Project, within the identified project corridor.

Overall the Stage 1 options considered in the PBC options assessment were:

1. Bus service enhancements
2. Bus network upgrades
3. Road network upgrades
4. Quality Bus Corridor
5. Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)
6. Light Rail Transit (LRT).

These options reflect a range of PT solutions, mode options and levels of capital investment to understand the incremental benefit that could be realised from an increasing level of investment and intervention in the corridor.
4.6 Options scoping and development

The identified options were subsequently scoped by the project team. For each option, sufficient design effort was undertaken to develop a strategic level cost estimate and enable transport modelling to be undertaken. All options follow the same route for Stage 1 from Maroochydore to the SCUH. For each of the options, an updated region-wide PT network including bus service enhancements was used as the basis for the strategic transport modelling.

4.6.1 Option 1 – Bus service enhancements

The bus service enhancements option reflects an expanded future PT network on the Sunshine Coast. This network was developed as part of the Southern Sunshine Coast Public Transport Study for TMR. It consists of a trunk corridor extending from Beerwah to the Sunshine Coast Airport (via Caloundra, Kawana, Mooloolaba, Maroochydore) which is serviced by 3 high-frequency routes. Connections to other activity centres (including new centres) and the North Coast Rail Line are provided by new and existing connector routes with improved frequencies. The level of service of bus kilometres of this network would also be deployed across all options. Greenfield growth areas are provided with new coverage services, and frequencies and routing on some existing coverage routes are improved.

4.6.2 Option 2 - Bus network upgrades

The bus network upgrades reflect a low capital solution, providing localised treatments throughout the corridor to improve the current bus network service. These upgrades represent an incremental improvement to the current service offering. Features include:

- Improved service with a combined service frequency of 8 vehicles per hour (7.5-minute headways)
- Bus services as specified in the bus service enhancement option (Option 1 – Bus service enhancements)
- Queue jumps at key locations along the corridor
- Park n Ride at Sunshine Motorway as it is a key transfer point for road, east-west bus services, and the coastal transport corridor.

4.6.3 Option 3 - Road network upgrades

The road upgrade option considers the ability to make amendments and investment in the road network to alleviate congestion and improve the transport functionality within the corridor. This also incorporates the base bus service enhancements of Option 1. The level of new infrastructure is consistent with the Quality Bus Corridor providing a similar scale of investment as PT. This option will include road upgrades along Aerodrome Road, Venning Street, Walan Street, Brisbane Road and Nicklin Way.

4.6.4 Option 4 - Quality Bus Corridor (QBC)

The Quality Bus Corridor reflects the development of a high-quality bus corridor, with high frequency service provision. It represents a significant capital investment in bus technology and includes corridor level treatments, as compared to the bus network upgrades, to attract passengers and increase PT mode share. The design leverages previous detailed investigations undertaken by TMR on the CoastConnect: Caloundra to Maroochydore Quality Bus Corridor study undertaken in 2010-11. Key features of this option include:

- Improved vehicles - higher specification branded vehicles, articulated or double decker buses
- Pre-paid boarding, no tickets sold on buses
- Dedicated bus lanes along Aerodrome Road - Rose Street to Horton Parade
- Dedicated bus lanes along Nicklin Way (2 road lanes + 1 bus lane + active transport)
- No priority at traffic signals
- Improved service with a combined service frequency of 8 vehicles per hour (7.5-minute headways)
- Bus services as specified in the bus service enhancement option (Option 1 – Bus service enhancements)
- Quality bus stops along corridor
- Park n Ride at Sunshine Motorway.
4.6.5  Option 5 – Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)

A BRT reference design was developed for the PBC and reflects a significant level of capital investment to create a dedicated high-quality bus-based PT spine in the corridor. The solution includes high-quality vehicles and stations with features as described by the Bus Rapid Transit Standard\textsuperscript{27}. Key features of the BRT option include:

- Identical alignment to the LRT alignment across the corridor with aligned station locations and function
- Modern battery electric buses
- Pre-paid boarding, tag on platform, all doors, no contact with driver
- Dedicated right of way corridor
- 8 vehicles per hour, 7.5-minute headways
- Priority at traffic signals
- Journey time of 30 minutes from Maroochydore to Kawana
- Park n Ride at Sunshine Motorway.

4.6.6  Option 6 – Light Rail Transit (LRT)

The LRT reference design was developed for the PBC, and as for the BRT design intention, represents a significant level of capital investment to create a dedicated high-quality dedicated PT spine in the corridor. The LRT option reflects the highest specification, largest infrastructure intervention and highest cost solution considered in the options assessment. Features of the design include:

- Identical alignment to the BRT alignment across the corridor with aligned station locations and function
- Pre-paid boarding, tag on platform, no contact with driver
- Dedicated right of way corridor
- 8 vehicles per hour, 7.5-minute headways
- Priority at traffic signals
- Journey time of 30 minutes from Maroochydore to Kawana
- Park n Ride at Sunshine Motorway.

4.6.7  Trackless trams

“Trackless tram” is an emerging technology solution that is essentially a subset of BRT in form and function. A trackless tram is an electric powered rubber tyred vehicle that would be autonomously guided via an optical or radio service guidance system. “Trackless tram” systems are currently in a demonstration/pilot technology phase of development, in China, and are a proprietary product of the Chinese rail company CRRC with a demonstration/pilot system operating in Zhuzhou.

During the identification stage of the options assessment process, trackless trams were considered as a potential option for inclusion in the Multi Criteria Assessment (MCA) process. Research undertaken into the technology, and discussions with the technical advisors to the project, determined that trackless tram is a technology variant that fits within the BRT portfolio and as such is considered in that context, with similar, if not identical infrastructure requirements and land use outcomes.

The current CRRC “trackless tram” vehicle dimensions are larger than existing buses in Australia (2.65 m wide by 3.4 m high), and purportedly can be lengthened or shortened by adding or removing sections from each consist. The propulsion systems are electric with onboard energy storage systems (supercapacitors) being recharged at stations. This requires power supply infrastructure and services to each station for quick recharging. The manufacturer also claims that the

vehicles are 100% low floor, though with a floor height of 330mm. This is 30mm higher than standard low floor LRT vehicles.

Like BRT systems, trackless trams would require a rigid pavement to handle the repetitive and localised loading profile from the guided wheels and would need to operate in their own right of way, with dedicated stations. The guidance technology system remains unproven for various environmental conditions including heavy rain (experienced on the Sunshine Coast).

Given the new nature of such technology it would require certification and approval for operation in Australia and the pathway for such is unknown at this point in time. The guiding approach for the project has been to consider technologies and solutions that have a level of proven commercial service, thereby reducing the technological and commissioning risks and enabling the Council (and any operators) the ability to leverage off experience from similar systems globally during commissioning and operations. At this stage “trackless trams” do not have proven commercial service experience that can be relied upon to reduce this risk for Council and its partners and this solution is considered “proprietary” technology- thus adding further risks for project owners when locked into a single supplier.

Based on such similarities, and the likely relative costs to other BRT (and indeed LRT) solutions, the delivery of a mass transit system through trackless trams was seen as a technology that needs further development and some degree of proven commercial service to be considered a solution in its own right.

Its exclusion as an explicit option in the PBC phase does not prevent its future consideration in the DBC phase if the technology becomes more advanced as part of a BRT solution, if this is progressed as the preferred Reference Project.

4.7 Options assessment

4.7.1 Criteria

The six shortlisted options for Stage 1 were assessed against a range of criteria chosen to link directly to the service requirements and project objectives developed in the SBC, and the options were assessed on their ability to deliver the outcomes desired by the Council. The criteria used for the quantitative MCA are outlined in Table 3.

Table 3: MCA criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Core Assessment Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport Outcomes</td>
<td>Impacts of the option on mode share, travel times, congestion, PT reliability, future transport network development. Focused on PT impacts.</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use</td>
<td>How the option impacts on the land use outcomes in the corridor and enables urban renewal and promotes infill development. This criterion considered the amount of development around the mode and its ability to satisfy the infill criteria of Council.</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Comparative whole of life costs including capital and operating cost estimates for each of the scoped options, discounted at 7 per cent real (economic discount rate).</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability / Environmental</td>
<td>The overall sustainability and environmental impact of the option.</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7.2 Results

Table 4 presents the outcomes of the core MCA assessment, along with the results of the sensitives. To test the robustness of the results from the MCA, several sensitives were also run using alternative scenarios across these criteria. These sensivities considered:

- An equal weighting, to test the outcome if all criteria are considered to be equivalently important
- Cost criteria greater than land use, to test the outcome if cost and transport are considered more important

28 “Debunking the myths around the optically-guided bus (trackless trams), 21 January 2019” Yale Wong, University of Sydney
Only the Transport Outcomes, Land Use and Cost criteria, as these act as a proxy for an economic assessment.

**Table 4: Quantitative MCA results and sensitivities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Bus service enhancements</th>
<th>Bus network</th>
<th>Road network</th>
<th>Quality Bus Corridor</th>
<th>BRT</th>
<th>LRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal weightings on all criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost weighting &gt; Land Use weighting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport, Land Use and Cost criteria only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.8 Summary**

The quantitative MCA results presented in Table 4 demonstrate that an LRT solution from Maroochydore to Kawana is the highest performing option when assessed against a range of criteria and transport infrastructure. LRT performed the strongest in the Core scenario as well as across all sensitivities. BRT performs second, and this result is also consistent across all sensitivities. Based on the results of the MCA, the following options were recommended for progression in the PBC to economic analysis and more detailed assessment through development of a Cost Benefit Analysis:

1. LRT
2. BRT
3. Quality Bus Corridor.

The options assessment process concluded that only the LRT option and potentially the BRT option are considered to have significant benefits in achieving the important land use criterion. The Quality Bus Corridor option is based on bus lanes, and although it performs well in terms of transport and cost, cannot achieve the full range of urban renewal benefits sought for the SCMT Project in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor.
5 Reference Projects

5.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the key features that comprise the SCMT Reference Projects for the shortlisted options identified in Chapter 4. The LRT Reference Project was used as the benchmark against which to develop the BRT Reference Project, and it is described in the most detail. A higher-level overview of the Reference Project – BRT and the alternative Quality Bus Corridor option is also provided.

5.2 Operational Assumptions

The LRT and BRT have been analysed and designed based on the initial operating assumptions shown Table 5.

Table 5: Operational assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alignment length</td>
<td>13.6km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stations</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of substations</td>
<td>LRT – 9 at approximately 2km intervals, plus the depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRT – Assumed to be battery electric, 3 recharging at both terminus and deep recharging in the depot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures – number of major bridges</td>
<td>5 bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal within Maroochydore CBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek on Brisbane Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker Creek on Brisbane Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooloolah River North on Brisbane Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooloolah River South on Brisbane Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depot location</td>
<td>Single site for stabling and maintenance is yet to be confirmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System configuration</td>
<td>Shared driver amenities at both terminus locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey time – BRT</td>
<td>Proposed to use standard 30-minute journey time with a 15-minute change over at each terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(recharging, driver amenity break, driver change for driver break)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey time – LRT</td>
<td>Proposed to use standard 30-minute journey time with a 6-minute change over at each terminus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(driver amenity break, driver change for driver break)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle size / length – BRT</td>
<td>Based on Brisbane Metro. Approximate values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length – 24 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static width – 2.55 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger capacity – 60 seated, 70 standing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle size / length – LRT</td>
<td>Based on Gold Coast Light Rail. Approximate values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length – 45 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static width – 2.65 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger capacity – 80 seated, 220 standing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle floor height</td>
<td>300 to 350mm (100 per cent low floor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum gradient</td>
<td>8 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed performance</td>
<td>To match adjacent road, posted speed limits up to 80km per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running way – BRT</td>
<td>High strength asphalt pavements for running way, except for concrete pavement at the following locations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Stops including approach and departures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Intersections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.1 Public Transport Network

A project specific bus network has been developed to support operation of the light rail route. The network includes a high frequency trunk connection running from Sunshine Coast Airport through to Beerwah via Maroochydore CBD, Mooloolaba, Birtinya, Caloundra South and Beerwah East. The trunk route is comprised of high frequency busses and the LRT corridor.

5.2.2 Providing opportunities to access the mass transit system

To maximise passenger convenience, the ability to transfer between the various public transport services, and the ability to transfer to the mass transit system from other modes of transport, must be central design features. While most people walk to access public transport, not all passengers originate from points close enough to allow this. As with any integrated public transport system, passengers must have maximum ability to access the mass transit services even in cases where they cannot walk to the stop. The proposed arrangements for access and transfers for Stage 1 of the mass transit system are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Proposed Intermodal transfer arrangements for SCMT Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access mode</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk, cycle, micro-electric transport</td>
<td>Improve direct pathway connections between mass transit stations and their catchments* Provide bicycle storage and/or allow bicycles to be carried on services</td>
<td>All mass transit stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer from intersecting local buses</td>
<td>Provide passenger interchange, and bus layover facilities</td>
<td>Maroochydore Town Centre, Mooloolaba south, Kawana Town Centre, Kawana University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer between mass transit and regional rail</td>
<td>Provide convenient transfer points with a short walk between regional rail and mass transit at key stops</td>
<td>Maroochydore Town Centre, Kawana Town Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passenger pick up and set down</td>
<td>Provide facilities for at major stops for “kiss + ride” private vehicle and booked hire services and taxis</td>
<td>Maroochydore Town Centre, Mooloolaba south, Kawana Town Centre, Kawana University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park + Ride</td>
<td>Provide car parking spaces close to mass transit stations that are outside of busy or congested areas and have good road access</td>
<td>Mooloolaba south, and possible smaller facilities at local stops not located in major centres.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.3 Park n Ride facilities

Park n Ride facilities allow people to drive to mass transit stations and leave their car there for the period of their journey. Park n Ride is a primarily way of intercepting motorists before they arrive in major activity centres or congested parts of the road network and allowing them to transfer to public transport. These facilities are popular with users but expensive to provide and maintain. In particular they consume large amounts of land which in central locations can be prohibitively expensive to attain. The Park n Ride facilities can sterilise land around stations that might be used for other more valued purposes such as medium density housing and mixed use commercial development. Importantly, Park n Ride facilities need to be located so motorists do not drive into congested areas, as this is a key reason to provide the mass transit as an alternative way to access centres.

On Stage 1 there is one highly suitable location for a large P+R and a bus transfer facility at Mooloolaba south, as part of the major Mooloolah River Interchange works for the Sunshine Motorway. Future detailed planning may also identify other opportunities for smaller Park n Ride facilities at other stations located outside of busy centres.

5.3 Reference Projects – general characteristics of LRT and BRT

This section outlines the characteristics of the LRT and BRT Reference Projects.
5.3.1 Route alignment

The Stage 1 SCMT corridor (see Figure 7) has been divided into seven segments to assist with selection of the most suitable alignment options within the corridor. The segments have been identified based on the function of the segment from a land use and transport planning perspective. The segments are:

- Segment 1 - Maroochydore CBD
- Segment 2 - Aerodrome Road
- Segment 3 - Alexandra Parade and Venning Street
- Segment 4 – Mooloolaba
- Segment 5 - Mooloolah River
- Segment 6 - Nicklin Way
- Segment 7 - Birtinya Town Centre.

When considering the alignment, the following key criteria were used in the selection process of suitable options:

- Safety - Where there is private property access directly onto the corridor, placing the mass transit alignment on the same side of the corridor as the private property access points results in conflicts that need to be managed for the safety of people using the private property access and the mass transit. For safety reasons, all interactions between LRT or BRT vehicles and road vehicles will be signalised.

- Operational efficiency - Efficiency in the operations and the road network is improved by minimising the number and complexity of interactions between the two forms of transport. Reducing the number of interactions improves allowable speeds for the vehicles and any delays associated with the operation of the traffic signals.

- Place and context - The position of the LRT or BRT within the corridor and the finishes used for the track slab (concrete, paved, grassed) can provide varying levels of benefit for the development of place along the corridor. The position of the alignment controls the position of the stops across the corridor. Connectivity of the stops to their surrounding precinct can be enhanced depending on the position within the corridor.

The alignment with 10-minute walking catchment isochrones catchment area is shown in Figure 7.
Figure 7: SCMT Stage 1 catchment area

* Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)
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5.3.2 Corridor configuration

The corridor route follows existing road corridors. The approach taken aims to minimise the scale and impacts of the infrastructure, integrate the alignment within the urban environment, and achieve a suitable journey time and reliability to encourage mode shift to PT.

To minimise the impacts, a balanced mixture of LRT or BRT running way, traffic lanes, parking, active transport and open space is required. For the PBC this has resulted in the configurations proposed in Table 7.

Table 7: Corridor configuration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Footpaths</th>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>Through Lanes (each way)</th>
<th>Corridor type</th>
<th>Running way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Corso</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Shared with road traffic</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Grassed / Paved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>First Avenue</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Dedicated on western side</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maroochydore</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aerodrome Road</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>(PCN provided on Maroubra Street)</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alexandra Parade</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>M2M cycleway on eastern side</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Venning Street</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Walan Street</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Bidirectional cycleway on south side</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brisbane Road</td>
<td>South side</td>
<td>Bidirectional cycleway on south side</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nicklin Way (Mooloolah River to Jessica Blvd)</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Bidirectional cycleway on east side</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nicklin Way (Jessica Blvd to Main Drive)</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Uni directional on both sides</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Main Drive</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Shared with road traffic</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Metier Linkway</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>Shared with road traffic</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Narrow median – partially segregated</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kawana Way (Metier Linkway to Central Blvd)</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>On road lanes</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kawana Way (Central Blvd to past Lake Kawana Blvd)</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>On road lanes</td>
<td>Two</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td>Water front</td>
<td>Water front</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Dedicated</td>
<td>Grass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bragg Street</td>
<td>Both sides</td>
<td>On road lanes</td>
<td>One</td>
<td>Verge</td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.3 Stations

Stations, along with the vehicles, are the key interface points between the travelling public and the mass transit system and will need to provide facilities and information which make the user experience as inviting and enjoyable as possible. It is intended that the stations will have a consistent design across the network to improve legibility and will be designed to suit the Sunshine Coast design principles. Stations will be located so they:

- Will allow the convenient movement of people between the mass transit system and surrounding origins and destinations
- Allow interchanges with other forms of transport to occur at convenient locations
- Provide a safe and inviting environment
- Can be made to safely and effectively fit with, and integrate into, the surrounding urban landscape and traffic network
- Meet geometric design standards including being located on a straight section of track and flat grade at the station.

Figure 8 depicts the minimum level of facilities to be provided at each station.

A standardised arrangement of these facilities will lead to customer familiarity and ease of maintenance. However, each station platform needs to be designed in relation to its local context. For example, access points and pedestrian storage provisions on each platform will vary.

For the SCMT Project, four physical station typologies have been identified: island, side, terminus and intermediate intermodal.

Island stations are located between the tracks with facilities centrally located on the stop to provide safe access and movement paths to both platform faces. The stops require additional corridor width to allow the tracks to widen around the platform. Island stations apply only to LRT.

Side stations require two platforms located outside the running way with duplicate facilities on the stop so that passengers do not need to change platforms to reach help points, top up go cards, or use other facilities on the stops. Side platforms have a shelter covering a minimum 70 per cent of the platform. All BRT stations would be side stations due to location of the doors on the vehicle (one side only).

Terminus stations are located at the end of the alignment when vehicles complete their journey in one direction, the driver changes ends of the vehicle and returns in the other direction. Terminus platforms require additional facilities for passengers as there are normally more passengers using these stops. These stops will aim to have 100 per cent shelter and additional top-up machines, touch on/off points, and seating. Terminus stations apply to both LRT and BRT.

Intermediate Intermodal stations are provided where passengers are able to transfer to the bus network and generally have more passengers using them so require additional shelter and facilities. This station-types apply to both LRT and BRT.

![Diagram of station facilities](image)

**Figure 8: Station facilities**
The general location, type and features of each of the 16 proposed stops are categorised as follows:

- 2 Terminus stations
- 4 Side stations for LRT (13 for BRT)
- 9 Island stations (LRT only)
- 1 Intermodal station.

5.4 Reference Project – LRT

5.4.1 Description

The LRT Reference Project has been designed along the lines of the successful Gold Coast and Canberra light rail systems with high quality vehicles and stations, with light rail trackage provided through an embedded railway track. As with these other systems, the LRT is assumed to utilise electric traction power delivered through overhead line equipment. Some LRT systems utilise battery powered trams with fast-charging at stations, and this may prove to be an economic proposition for the Sunshine Coast in the future. The LRT and its technical assumptions will be reviewed and refined in the subsequent phases of the Project.

From an operational perspective, the LRT’s service frequency is eight vehicles per hour at 7.5-minute headways and a 30-minute journey time for Stage 1. Provided that this headway of 7.5 minutes or similar can be maintained in the face of passenger demand, all LRT vehicles will be given a level of priority at traffic signals to enable quicker journey times. For the purposes of the analysis, which is based on 2041, it is assumed parking charges would be applied in Maroochydore and Mooloolaba and based on current charges applied in Caloundra.

As with BRT and the Quality Bus Corridor options, a feature of the LRT option is a Park n Ride facility planned at the Sunshine Motorway junction, in a location yet to be determined.

5.5 Reference Project – BRT

The Reference Project – BRT has been designed to create a dedicated high-quality PT spine in the corridor. The solution includes high-quality vehicles and stations to resemble as closely as possible an LRT system. The BRT option is made up mostly of a dedicated right of way centre-running corridor with a width varying from 8.2 metres to 11.2 metres. The solution includes high-quality vehicles and stations with features to qualify as a “Bronze BRT” as described by the international Bus Rapid Transit Standard. Higher standards, i.e. Gold and Silver are possible under the BRT Standard, and these generally aim to allow BRT to compete with very high capacity passenger transport modes like metro rail. Adopting a higher standard BRT would add significant costs which were judged by technical experts to unfairly penalise the BRT option when compared to LRT.

The BRT right of way would be made up of multi-layered pavement running way, concrete stations and approaches. As with LRT and the Quality Bus Corridor options, a feature of the BRT option is a Park n Ride facility planned at the Sunshine Motorway29. Its station locations and function are similar to the LRT option, with paid space for stations with tap-on at platform facilities. Unlike the LRT, all stations for the BRT are side platforms due to the available BRT vehicles only having doors on one side of the vehicle. The BRT vehicle itself will be an electric vehicle similar to the proposed Brisbane Metro bi-articulated vehicles which measure 24.5 metres.

From an operational perspective, the BRT’s service frequency is eight vehicles per hour at 7.5-minute headways and a 30-minute journey time for Stage 1, identical to LRT. Provided a headway of 7.5 minutes can be maintained in the face of passenger demand, all BRT vehicles will be given a level of priority at traffic signals to enable quicker journey times. For the purposes of the analysis, which is based on 2041, it is assumed kerbside parking charges would be applied in Maroochydore and Mooloolaba based on current charges applied in Caloundra. The financial and economic costs of the BRT are reflective of an increase in bus kilometres consistent with a re-orientated network around the mass transit spine.

---

29 Exact location to be advised.
5.6 Quality Bus Corridor

This option is the development of a high-quality bus corridor and service, including a significant level of capital investment and corridor level treatments focused on increasing PT mode share. The key features of this option include:

- Improved vehicles – higher specification branded vehicles, articulated or double decker buses 12 to 18 metres long
- High frequency bus services with dedicated right of way on Nicklin Way with frequency of eight services per hour and headways of 7.5 minutes
- Pre-paid services only with no cash fares, similar to Brisbane BUZ services
- Infrastructure inclusions:
  - Dedicated bus lanes along Aerodrome Road, Rose Street to Horton Parade
  - Dedicated bus lanes along Nicklin Way (two road lanes, one bus lane and AT)
- Quality bus stops along corridor
- Brisbane Road, Walan Street and Venning Street – upgraded to four lanes of general traffic. These costs are excluded from project estimates as works are being undertaken by Council in 2019/20
- Park n Ride at Sunshine Motorway
- Increase in bus kilometres and enhanced network connectivity
- It is assumed that by 2041 parking charges would be applied in Maroochydore and Mooloolaba, based on current charges applied in Caloundra.

5.7 Summary

The reference project development and assessment confirmed that it is technically feasible to deliver a mass transit project from Maroochydore to Sunshine Coast University Hospital precinct and has considered the potential impacts and benefits of the SCMT Project. Vehicles ranging from 12m for double decker buses for BRT, to 45 metres for LRT, are considered in the options.

The PBC will provide full economic cost benefit analyses for these three options. It will also identify key areas that require further investigation in the subsequent Detailed Business Case (DBC) phase. These include:

- Detailed Public Utility and Plant (PUP) investigations to identify affected services and develop mitigating treatments
- Refinement of corridor impacts, particularly the station locations to reduce and/or mitigate the property impacts
- Refinement of the journey time and intersection performance using an advance real time simulation tool
- Refinement of the construction schedule and subsequent cost estimate.
6 Transport Outcomes

6.1 Introduction

This chapter provides the results of transport and land use modelling performed using combinations of transport network and land use scenarios to comprise two comparative cases:

- Without any project – a base case titled ‘Without-project base case’
- With the development of Option 6 in the Stage 1 corridor from Maroochydore to the SCUH precinct titled ‘With-LRT project case’.

Transport models require inputs based on assumptions of a future demographic scenario devised for the forecast year. Transport outcomes for all options including LRT, BRT and Quality Bus Corridor have been previously modelled in Chapter 4 utilising a single future demographic scenario for 2041 developed by the QGSO. For chapter 4 the transport outcomes were modelled at a higher level to enable comparison between options in the quantitative MCA process.

The transport outcomes presented in this chapter represent only the results of strategic transport model runs for the With-LRT project case due to the timing of this Report. The final PBC will include detailed transport modelling results for each of the three Reference Projects, Quality Bus Corridor, BRT, and LRT.

The transport outcomes in this chapter now reveal transport demand and network performance in 2041 when changes to land use attributable to the investment in a major mass transit project occur. This will be important in the final PBC to establish the full range of economic benefits of investing in mass transit. These include the benefits to the community of increased urban renewal within the mass transit catchment, which results in shorter journeys, less emissions and supports higher use of sustainable transport modes.

The demographic scenario used in this chapter to test transport outcomes is drawn from Chapter 3 which assumed a LRT intervention, as LRT is a proven technology in achieving take-up of urban renewal opportunities. Other technology options, including BRT, would be expected to have different demographic scenario results that will be modelled in the final PBC analysis.

As a reference check, a further demographic scenario has been developed by TMR to project the desired policy outcomes of ShapingSEQ onto the ground in the Sunshine Coast Region in 2041. This will be used in the PBC to test the results of mass transit investment under the circumstance where the full intent of ShapingSEQ in achieving urban renewal is achieved by 2041. Figure 14 depicts the relative difference in population and employment resulting from the various demographic scenarios currently in place for the PBC modelling process.

6.2 The SCMT Project specific transport and land use model

The transport modelling task for the SCMT Project has been completed by consultants VLC using the ‘four-step’ Zenith Strategic Transport Model. The Zenith Model has been refined to create a project-specific model for the SCMT PBC. The features of this model and analyses include:

- Extensive geographic coverage
- Disaggregated local zone system which is essential in PT studies where accessibility is a major factor
- Several access modes to transit including walking, park ‘n’ ride and kiss ‘n’ ride
- Multi-period assignment with AM, PM and Interpeak
- Demand matrices that vary in response to the network and demographic scenarios being modelled.

* Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)

30 See section 9.3 below.
6.2.1 General transport model assumptions

The model and its parameters were applied in the same way as those used for recent Business Cases developed for the M1 Projects by TMR. The general transport model assumptions include:

- Base year of 2016 and modelling of future years 2026, 2036 and 2041
- The zone system of Sunshine Coast Statistical Area (SA) 4 is made up of 1,496 Travel Zones covering 646 SA1s
- An applied ‘buffer’ area (intermediate zonal detail) which is broadly consistent with TMR’s SEQ-Strategic Transport Model Level 2 zone system
- An applied ‘balance’ area (aggregate zones) consistent with SA2 boundaries.

The zone system detail is depicted in Figure 10. The approach to developing demographic and land use inputs to the transport model is demonstrated in Figure 9.

Figure 10: SCMT zone system level of detail

Figure 9: Approach to developing land use inputs
6.2.2 Population and employment

The forecast 2041 mobile population and employment by area is depicted in Figure 12 and Figure 11, respectively.

The modelled demographics include the average number of cars per household for each travel zone which is derived from simple regression models that consider household size and dwelling densities.

6.3 Transport outcomes from the ‘Without-project base case’

The *Without-project base case* is constructed using:

- The *No-intervention (trend)* demographic scenario developed in Chapter 3
- A *Do-minimum* transport network

The *Do-minimum* transport network includes only committed and funded works and is used in the base case modelling as it represents the network that will exist in the absence of specific project funding for the SCMT Project. This approach has been adopted to align with Infrastructure Australia’s (IA) assessment framework and the Australian Transport Assessment and Planning (ATAP) Guidelines. This *Do-minimum* network includes both roads and PT.

The existing committed road projects are listed in Table 8.

Table 8: *Do-minimum* committed road works

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>State Project</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bells Creek Arterial (Caloundra Road to Baringa)</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sunshine Coast University Hospital access improvements (Kawana Way upgrade)</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sunshine Coast University Hospital access improvements (Production Avenue link)</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bruce Highway Upgrade – Caloundra Road to Sunshine Motorway</td>
<td>2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bruce Highway – Deception Bay Road Interchange upgrade <em><strong>Outside SC LGA</strong></em></td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bruce Highway Upgrade – Maroochydore Road and Mons Road Interchanges</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bruce Highway Upgrade Project (Caboolture – Bribie Island Road to Steve Irwin Way) upgrade to 6-Lanes</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Beerburrum to Nambour Rail – road upgrades including level crossing removal</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bells Creek Arterial – 2-lane at-grade intersections (full length Caloundra Road to Bruce Highway at Roys Road)</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transport Outcomes

The **Do-minimum** PT includes extensions into new greenfield areas, realignment of routes so they terminate in the Maroochydore Town Centre which results in an additional 850 bus kilometres per day. The **Do-minimum** PT network routes affected are outlined in Table 9.

**Table 9: Do minimum PT network**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Routes affected</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maroochydore CBD</td>
<td>600, 602, 610, 611, 612, 614, 615, 616, 617, 619, 620, 622</td>
<td>Extended/diverted all routes that currently start/end at Maroochydore Station (Horton Parade) into the new CBD. Nambour/Noosa routes still use old station on Horton Parade, then continue into CBD. Other routes no longer use it, going straight into the CBD instead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmview</td>
<td>615, 618</td>
<td>Diverted 615 to run through Palmview between Sippy Downs and Landsborough. Extended 618 to provide local coverage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloundra South (Aura)</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>Extended 606 through Caloundra South future stages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beerwah East</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>Diverted 605 between Caloundra and Beerwah, to serve Beerwah East.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A strategic transport model run was performed to analyse transport demand and network performance under a **Do-minimum** transport network and the **No-intervention (trend)** demographic scenario as developed in Chapter 3. This provided the **Without-project base case**.

The **Without-project base case** traffic conditions and PT outcomes are outlined in Table 10. The **Without-project base case** transport outcomes demonstrate that sustainably accommodating growth on the Sunshine Coast requires investment in a transport system that reduces dependence on single-occupant cars and supports dense, compact, and mixed-use development. Modelling shows without an attractive, convenient and competitive mass transit system the urban footprint will inevitably expand and transport costs will increase.

**Table 10: Without-project base case transport outcomes – Do-minimum transport network only**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Case Traffic Conditions Outcomes</th>
<th>Base Case PT Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• 200,000 additional residents (+69 per cent)</td>
<td>• Uptake of PT is limited with practically no increase in overall mode share (&lt;1 per cent to 1.2 per cent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 2.4 million trips will either start or end on the Sunshine Coast each day (+60 per cent)</td>
<td>• JTW mode share increases from 1 to 3 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• An additional 787,000 trips within the LGA each day</td>
<td>• In 2041 JTW PT mode share within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor is just 1.5 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 95 per cent of trips that start on the Sunshine Coast will end on the Sunshine Coast</td>
<td>• PT loads on key links do not increase significantly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Journey to work self-containment will remain high across the LGA at 85 per cent less than 6 per cent of (journey to work) JTW trips are made to Brisbane LGA</td>
<td>• PT has limited competitive advantage because buses are in the same congestion as cars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 31 per cent of JTW trips will end within the mass transit corridor</td>
<td>• PT Journeys between the SCUH and Maroochydore CBD in the AM peak will take approximately 40 minutes longer than car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Congestion along key links worsens and delays increase</td>
<td>• Patronage on the 600 service grows at 1.0 per cent p.a. from 3,100 to 3,900 (the catchment grows at 2.7 per cent).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• On average people making car trips are likely to spend 6 times longer in excessive congestion when compared to 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Refer to the Note on the Contents page (page i)
6.4 Transport outcomes from the ‘With-LRT project case’

This section outlines the core assumptions for modelling the LRT intervention. The With-LRT project case is constructed using:

- The light rail intervention – Stage 1 demographic scenario developed in Chapter 3
- A transport network that includes light rail in Stage 1, an upgraded project-specific bus network and a do-minimum road network.

6.4.1 With-LRT project case – Stage 1 demographics

The Stage 1 LRT project intervention demographics are based on a different pattern of growth to the No-intervention (trend) demographic scenario. The With-LRT demographics are based on far higher rates of infill development as a result of mass transit investment. Infill development is facilitated through investment in mass transit that unlocks increased capacity for housing development and increases market take-up within its walk-up catchment. As a result, growth in Beerwah East and other potential urban expansion areas within the Sunshine Coast is tempered beyond 2041. Under the With-LRT demographic scenario there are 19,597 more people residing in the Stage 1 Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor that would otherwise have been located within other locations of the Sunshine Coast. The difference in dwelling density between the No-intervention (trend) and With-LRT project intervention demographics is illustrated Figure 13.

6.4.2 Transport outcomes With-LRT project case against Without-project base case

The headline statistics from modelling the With-LRT project case against the Without-project base case include:

- There are 390,500 fewer vehicle kilometres travelled within the Sunshine Coast in 2041. This represents a reduction in Vehicle Kilometres Travelled (VKT) of approximately 2.4 per cent when compared to the Without-project base case.

- Within the Sunshine Coast LGA there are 49,400 PT trips each day in 2041, more than double the number of PT in the Without-project base case.

- PT mode share within the Sunshine Coast LGA increases from 1.2 per cent in the base case to 2.3 per cent.

- Change in mode share is far more pronounced when considering trips within the Stage 1 Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. In this area, PT mode share increases from 1.3 per cent to 4.5 per cent with the intervention of the LRT.

- The total number of PT trips that start and finish within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor increases from 5,100 to 20,400 trips per day with the intervention of the LRT, representing a 300 per cent increase.

- 8.8 per cent of journeys to work, within the Stage 1 Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, are made by PT. This represents a step change in travel behaviour when compared to the base scenario which observes a mere 1.5 per cent of internal journeys to work made by PT.

- 31,100 trips per day are made on LRT in 2041.

Figure 13: Change in dwelling density - LRT intervention in 2041
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Transport Outcomes

- There is significant demand for the park ‘n’ ride around Mooloolah with approximately 1,100 car fed PT trips per day. This is due to the location’s high car accessibility relative to the mass transit station.

- The highest number of transfers from bus to LRT occur at the SCUH (590), Mooloolah River crossing (470) and the Maroochydore Transit Centre (330). This supports the case for a bus-LRT interchange facility at the Mooloolah River crossing.

- The pattern of development is far more concentrated around existing urban areas. As a result, 85 per cent of all boardings on LRT services are walk-up trips.

- 23,000 fewer car trips start in the Sunshine Coast LGA each day when compared to the base scenario. Of these, 19,800 are local trips that have moved completely to alternative modes due to more realistic alternatives and land use that support alternatives to car-based trips.

- A light rail intervention is projected to increase the resident population in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor by at least 19,597 people.

- An increase in population means there are more trips made by car each day within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, but the number of car trips per person reduces by 8 per cent with intervention of the LRT.

6.5 Summary

This section has highlighted the transport outcomes from transport modelling of the Without-project base case against the With-LRT project case with intervention of LRT. Comparisons to the base case are made to demonstrate the beneficial transport and land use outcomes that could be achieved through the delivery of mass transit solutions that include a trunk transit route between Maroochydore and SCUH precinct.
7 Social and Environmental Impacts

7.1 Introduction

The criticality of the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor as a key economic, population and tourism corridor means that the stakeholders and communities impacted by the Project reach beyond the immediate study area. Social and environmental impacts have been identified through the SBC and PBC development and include social and environmental costs, and disadvantages to be addressed by the Project.

7.2 Social impacts

Table 11 describes the social costs and disadvantages to be addressed by the Project that arise from the problems identified and described in the Need for Project section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Impact Baseline</th>
<th>Key Stakeholders</th>
<th>Change with preferred option/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban growth/land use challenges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing costs of urban expansion</td>
<td>Residents, motorists, businesses within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, Local Government</td>
<td>Investment in a mass transit system throughout the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor will attract residents and businesses to the region via better accessibility and connectivity, helping to achieve consolidation benchmarks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversing the current dominance of low-density housing</td>
<td>Residents, property owners, Local Government</td>
<td>Increasing the range of dwelling types available in desirable and/or typically high-cost areas, such as the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, will increase accessibility for lower income households to these locations and attract people to live in this corridor, accommodating population growth, further development and lessening of pressure for further urban expansion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transport network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Impact Baseline</th>
<th>Key Stakeholders</th>
<th>Change with preferred option/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current PT system hinders urban and economic growth</td>
<td>Businesses within the Sunshine Coast, property owners</td>
<td>A quality PT mass transit system will create a more liveable and efficient urban environment that will relieve expected future chronic road network congestion that has the potential to constrain planned urban growth and economic development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dependency on private motor vehicles and declining PT use</td>
<td>Residents, motorists, potential pubic transport users</td>
<td>The provision of an efficient and well-connected mass transit system will increase PT mode share on the Sunshine Coast and reduce dependency on private motor vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing car parking demand</td>
<td>Residents, businesses within the Corridor, potential PT users, tourists</td>
<td>A frequent, reliable mass transit system will reduce the need and incentive to travel to locations within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor via private vehicle, decreasing the need for provision of car parking. The Project may also require land resumptions where existing car parks are situated, thereby reducing the parking available in the corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High level of road congestion on key arterial roads in the Sunshine Coast</td>
<td>Residents, businesses within the Sunshine Coast, freight operators, motorists</td>
<td>Dependency on the private vehicle will be reduced, relieving congestion and improving travel times for motorists and freight operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of congestion on business and industry</td>
<td>Tourists, businesses within the Sunshine Coast, freight operators</td>
<td>Reducing congestion increases the attractiveness of the Sunshine Coast to residents, business investors and</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Social and Environmental Impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Impact Baseline</th>
<th>Key Stakeholders</th>
<th>Change with preferred option/s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts of reduced levels of employment self-containment</td>
<td>Residents, motorists, potential PT users, businesses within South East Queensland</td>
<td>Investment in PT on the Sunshine Coast will encourage intra-regional commuters away from private motor vehicles and onto PT to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and deliver significant long-term productivity improvements for the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future jobs and productivity growth</td>
<td>Residents, businesses of South East Queensland</td>
<td>Providing a major improvement to the local PT system in the region can provide reliable connectivity between major population and employment centres in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. This is essential to facilitate the growth and expansion of the regional economy and support workforce retention.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Identification of social impacts is ongoing and will continue to be identified and mitigated throughout the business case process. Currently, there are no fatal flaws that affect the feasibility of the Project.

7.3 Environmental impacts

A review of publicly available information relevant to environmental, heritage and planning matters of interest was undertaken, as well as an assessment of potential environmental and heritage risks associated with the SCMT Project. Key environmental risks of the Project include:

- Impacts on matters of national environmental significance (MNES) located either within, or in proximity to the Project area
- Impacts on matters of State environmental significance (MSES) located either within, or in proximity to the Project area
- Hydrology and flooding impacts, including climate change impacts.

This Environmental Assessment has identified further investigations and/or monitoring is recommended to refine the assessment of potential environmental and/or heritage impacts as the Project design progresses, these may be required to support future stages of the Project. Potential mitigation measures have been identified for key environmental elements where impacts are unable to be avoided.

7.3.1 Identified environmental impacts

Key findings of the Environmental Assessment also confirmed that:

- No known Indigenous heritage sites will be impacted by the Project and no previously registered non-indigenous heritage sites will be impacted by the Project area.
- No mapped vegetation within the Project area, therefore the likelihood of threatened ecological communities occurring is low
- No high-risk areas for protected plants were identified on the Flora Survey Trigger Map within the Project area
- No essential habitat is mapped within the Project area however, essential habitat is mapped directly adjacent to the Project area on Maroochydore Beach
- No scenic routes, as identified in Schedule 2 of the Sunshine Coast Regional Plan 2014, intersect or occur in proximity to the Project and the development does not impact on the regional or sub-regional inter-urban break

The key environmental risks of the Project identified at this stage include:

- Impacts on Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) located either within, or in proximity to the Project area, potentially including:
  - Listed threatened ecological communities (may occur within the Project area)
  - Listed threatened flora and fauna species (may occur within the Project area)
• Ramsar declared wetland of international importance, Moreton Bay Ramsar wetland (occurs adjacent to the Project area)

• Impacts on Matters of State Environmental Significance (MSES) located either within, or in proximity to the Project area, potentially including:
  – Essential habitat for protected plants under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*
  – High risk areas for protected plants under the *Nature Conservation Act 1992*

• Moreton Bay Ramsar wetland of international importance (High ecological value waters) under the Environmental Protection (Water and Wetland Biodiversity) Policy 2019, Schedule 1 (In accordance with MSES definitions (e) and (f))

• Hydrology and flooding
  – Climate change impacts relating to rising sea levels and increased flood events
  – Impacts on the natural hydrology of creeks and wetlands (e.g. levels and flows)
  – Impact on the current hydrological regime of the creeks within and adjacent to the Project area
  – Impacts on flow pathways and afflux (change flood levels) and flow velocities resulting in scouring of waterways and changes in velocities.

7.3.2 Environmental Benefits

The SCC is working towards carbon neutrality, with key developments in sustainable transport, biodiversity, land use and social planning initiatives for the region. The SCMT Project is part of the objective to improve sustainable transport methods within the Sunshine Coast region.

The Project is expected to result in a reduction in local contributions to regional Green House Gas (GHG) emissions. This reduction in GHG emissions will assist in the transition to a lower carbon economy and in mitigating contributions to climate change. However, it is anticipated that there may be short term impacts (i.e. increase in GHG emissions) associated with construction activities of the Project. The PBC and subsequent DBC will assess the environmental benefits of the Project including reducing carbon emissions through increased PT mode share.

7.4 Summary

The Environmental Assessment completed to date identified further investigation and/or monitoring to refine the potential environmental and/or heritage impacts as the Project progresses. An assessment on the likelihood for significant impact to MNES and MSES (if confirmed to be impacted) as a consequence of the Project will be required, and this will be informed by site investigations.

Potential mitigation measures have been identified for key environmental elements where impacts are unable to be avoided and will be required to be refined in parallel with design progression to enable impacts from key environmental and heritage risks to be appropriately mitigated or offset where these options are available. Environmental offsets to mitigate impacts will need to be investigated and informed by these future studies.

Identification of potential environmental impacts is ongoing and will continue to be identified and mitigated throughout the business case process. Currently, there are no fatal flaws that affect the feasibility of the Project.
8  Project Cost Estimates

8.1  Introduction

This section presents an overview of the components involved in the whole of life cost estimates for the SCMT Project options. The project cost estimate consists of two key categories:

- Capital costs incurred during the development and construction phase
- Operating costs based on a 30-year operating period.

Information on the methodology to develop the cost estimates is presented in the following sections.

8.2  Capital cost estimates

The risk adjusted capital cost estimates are being prepared using a base estimate date of November 2019 to reflect the forecast cost of delivering the planned works for each of the Reference Project options. In developing the cost estimates, it will be necessary to adopt a number of different cost estimating techniques according to the nature of the activity being estimated and the level of design information available. The techniques used range from:

- A fully resource-based estimate of labour, plant and materials priced using local market rates
- Allowances for packages of work based on actual costs obtained from completed comparable projects and adjusted to suit local market conditions.

The estimates include allowances for all direct and indirect construction costs, Principal costs for all stages of project development, property acquisition costs, and appropriate vehicle purchase costs. The capital cost estimates will be risk adjusted using quantitative risk assessment techniques to account for the risk and uncertainty associated with the various elements of the estimate. The key elements of the cost estimates are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Capital cost estimate categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost category</th>
<th>Quality Bus Corridor</th>
<th>BRT</th>
<th>LRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principals costs – all phases</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle purchase costs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property costs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed Design</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental management</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic management</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public utilities and plant</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk earthworks, drainage</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges and retaining walls</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trackslab and rail track</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaping</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavement works</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stations, stops and interchanges</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depot construction</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail systems including power supply, rail control systems, communications</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic signal integration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk adjustment</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Escalation is applied to the cost estimate to determine the outturn cost based on the delivery timeframes shown in Figure 14.

Figure 14: Project timing

### 8.3 Operations cost estimates

An Operations and Maintenance (O&M) cost estimate is also being prepared for each of the Reference Projects. The O&M cost estimates for the BRT and the LRT use a first principles approach and reflect the cost to establish and operate a standalone LRT or BRT system on the Sunshine Coast. The BRT and LRT costs are estimated based on Australian precedent projects including Gold Coast Light Rail and Brisbane Metro.

The cost estimate for the Quality Bus Corridor option reflects the cost to operate a new fleet of high-quality buses as an expansion of the existing Queensland Government contracted bus services on the Sunshine Coast. The key cost components of the O&M costs are shown in Table 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Category</th>
<th>Quality Bus Corridor</th>
<th>BRT</th>
<th>LRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client costs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational staff and corporate staff</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine maintenance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle lifecycle maintenance</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depot costs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8.4 Summary

The cost estimates for each project option (and associated benefits and revenues) will be modelled in the economic and financial appraisal work streams. The cost estimates for the LRT and BRT options are undergoing a process of value engineering and peer review to provide assurance to the cost components of subsequent economic, financial and commercial analyses. Costs will be presented in real, outturn (i.e. nominal) and present value costs and will represent the total funding requirement. All costs will present a range from P50 to P90 risk to reflect the level of risk and uncertainty at this stage of development.

The cost estimates are being prepared in accordance with the requirements of the relevant frameworks for a project at this stage of development and will be refined and tested in future phases of development.
9 Benefits

9.1 Introduction

Investment in region-shaping projects can lead to positive transport, liveability and economic outcomes, as well as significant urban renewal benefits, higher productivity and improved transport benefits across the network which collectively drive economic efficiency. The three preferred options from the options assessment (LRT, BRT and Quality Bus Corridor) will be progressed to an economic assessment which will consider the relative ability of each option to deliver the greatest economic outcomes to society, measured through a Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA).

9.2 Economic appraisal approach

The economic appraisal will be undertaken using accepted industry practices, in line with feedback and guidance from IA, Building Queensland, TMR and Queensland Treasury. The appraisal also draws on best practice transport economics guidance issued by Australian and international organisations. The approach used has been applied on a range of projects across Australia, including the DBC for the recently funded Gold Coast Light Rail Stage 3A.

9.3 Benefit estimation

A Total Appraisal Framework has been applied in this economic analysis to comprehensively assess the benefits of the reference project. This framework assesses benefits across the two land use scenarios developed for the project:

1. The first round (without mass transit intervention) isolates the transport impacts and Wider Economic Benefits (WEBs) of the project that are independent of land use changes i.e. it assesses the benefits of the project under the No-intervention (trend) demographic scenario.

2. The second round (with mass transit intervention) assesses the benefits of the project inclusive of land use changes that reflect urban renewal within the corridor. This intervention case is currently only estimated for the LRT, as the other options, except potentially BRT, are not expected to result in a significant land use change above the No-intervention scenario. The resultant land use change from a BRT intervention will continue to be investigated throughout the course of the business case.

Outlined in Figure 15 is a summary of the benefits that are expected to be quantified in the economic assessment for SCMT. All of the ‘Transport benefits’ and ‘WEBs’ will be measured across both the first round and second round estimation. However, it is only under the second-round scenario that urban renewal benefits from land use change will be realised.
Table 14 provides a brief description of the economic benefits outlined above, and their significance in the project assessment. The approaches to the estimation of these benefits will be undertaken in line with industry leading and best practice approaches.

### Table 14: Description of economic benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit Summary</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PT Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time savings (PT user)</td>
<td>Measures the reduction in door-to-door journey time for PT users as a result of improved network performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved station amenity</td>
<td>Uplift in the quality of journeys as a result of the introduction of new station and interchanges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved vehicle amenity</td>
<td>Uplift in the quality of journeys as a result of the introduction of new, higher quality LRT or BRT vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Road User Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time savings (Road user)</td>
<td>Measures the reduction in door-to-door journey time for private vehicle users and commercial vehicles as a result of improved network performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced vehicle operating costs</td>
<td>Calculates the reduction in vehicle running costs (fixed and operational) of the such as depreciation, fuel, repairs and maintenance as a result of improvement in average road speeds as passengers shift from road to PT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight benefits</td>
<td>Reduction in congestion, journey times and vehicle operating costs for freight road users resulting from the easier movement of freight as passengers shift from road to PT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broader Community Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced accident costs</td>
<td>Reduction in accident costs owing to a reduction in vehicle kilometres travelled resulting in a decrease in the number of accidents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental benefits</td>
<td>Reduction in environmental pollution as result of the project encouraging mode shift away from cars to PT and reducing the number of vehicle kilometres travelled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health benefits</td>
<td>The project may result in increased health benefits from an increase in active transport (AT). This can be associated with increased AT mode share or increased km’s walked transiting to, from and between PT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoided road maintenance costs</td>
<td>Reduction in wear and tear on road network because of an overall reduction in total kilometres travelled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wider Economic Benefits (WEBs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEBs</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wider Economic Benefits (WEBs) refer to the economic impacts of transport investments that are additional to transport user benefits. WEBs are improvements in economic welfare that arise from market imperfections: that is, prices of goods and services differing from costs to society as a whole. As a city-shaping project, the SCMT is expected to improve the transport accessibility as well as attract jobs and businesses to relocate to into the corridor. The change in transport accessibility and change in land use will generate WEBs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Urban Renewal Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avoidable costs</th>
<th>Avoidable costs (also known as ‘infrastructure and service cost savings’) are potential benefits that can be accrued by facilitating greater rates of urban infill over the alternative of greenfield expansion (i.e. urban sprawl). The reference projects are anticipated to reduce urban sprawl by encouraging people and jobs to relocate to the corridor rather than moving to greenfield developments. The avoidable costs may be estimated for this project through an assessment of development diverted from greenfield areas and estimation of the avoidable infrastructure costs as a result of the avoided dwellings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land value uplift</td>
<td>Raising land to its highest and best use and increasing its development intensity (to match the capacity unlocked by the reference projects) is likely to be the most significant urban regeneration impact attributable to the project option/s. The ‘improved land use’ benefit is monetised into land values and can be quantified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social benefits</td>
<td>Some urban renewal projects can bring about an increased level of amenity, either by improving public spaces and infrastructure (e.g. public parks and plazas) or reducing negative externalities (e.g. urban blight or crime). The land value impacts associated with the increased levels of amenity within the corridor as a result of the reference projects can be used to estimate the social benefits arising from the projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4 Summary

The economic appraisal for the Project will be completed in accordance with accepted industry practices and will comply with all relevant guidelines. The economic appraisal will be completed and included in the PBC.
10 Interim Findings

10.1 Introduction

The purpose of the Interim Findings Report is to provide a summary of the high-level findings of the PBC to date. Based on the work completed to date, the interim findings of the PBC are summarised in this section. This report has been developed to present findings to brief Council on progress to date, which are subject to change throughout completion of the PBC.

10.2 Need for project

The PBC work to date has established the need for the SCMT Project. The Sunshine Coast is challenged by growing levels of road congestion, an accelerating trend toward urban expansion, high dependency on private motor vehicle transport and the need to continue to strengthen the economy to support continued high levels of employment self-containment. There is a clear need for a coordinated economic, land use and transport solution that supports the region’s economic, social, environmental and transport goals. There is a need to ensure that the region’s economy continues to develop to attract investment to the region in high-value industries and associated employment opportunities, with an accessible and productive workforce. Suitable urban consolidation needs to be attractive to residents to encourage them to live closer to where they work, supported by an integrated and sustainable PT network.

10.3 Land use

The land use analysis undertaken for the Project to date has found that the right type of mass transit can act as a catalyst for the consolidation of new housing and business within its catchment. This will enable a high proportion of dwelling growth to occur within the established urban footprint and support access to key destinations and employment nodes without having to drive long distances in private motor vehicles. In particular:

- The SCMT Project, if based on LRT or other technology with a similar influence on land development take-up, will deliver a significant region-shaping opportunity when combined with complementary and appropriate changes to planning controls.

- Investment in the SCMT, if based on LRT or other technology with a similar influence on transport accessibility and land use development, will help drive a stronger, more competitive and sustainable economy and generate substantial and lasting economic, social and environmental benefits.

- Project benefits include a combination of the initial increased demand for dwellings serviced by the new infrastructure and the benefits that come from changes in land use zoning and increased development densities.

- By 2041 the intervention land use scenario would result in:
  - 9,575 dwellings in the corridor beyond forecast No-intervention (trend) growth, as a contributor for the region to achieve its 62 per cent urban consolidation target
  - An additional population of 19,597 accommodated in the corridor beyond forecast No-intervention (trend) growth
  - 6,336 new jobs accommodated in the corridor beyond forecast No-intervention (trend) growth.

- If the mass transit investment was based on LRT or technology with a similar influence on land development take-up, there would be significant additional dwellings in almost all station catchments as a result of the mass transit project, and significant employment activation in Maroochydore and Kawana (Point Cartwright Drive station).

A fixed track mass transit such as LRT would provide certainty for residential, retail and commercial property investment. This is one vital factor leading to considerable intensification of property development around fixed track mass transit stations. If carefully planned and based on technology that has a real influence on land use development, a major investment in the Stage 1 SCMT Project could potentially unlock greater dwelling, population and employment growth through additional market interventions.

The analysis has taken a conservative view to reflect historical residential and commercial market demand along the corridor, and results could be higher than expected with the right approach to urban transformation.
The process of achieving a major swing to urban consolidation will be difficult and will require the support of additional measures envisaged in the Sunshine Coast Council’s “Urban Renewal Facilitation Toolkit” alongside the major investment in mass transit.

10.4 Options assessment

The cost of road congestion for the Sunshine Coast LGA is forecast to be approximately $3 billion per annum by 2041 and approximately $1 billion per annum from the Maroochydore to Sunshine Coast University Hospital, in nominal terms. A multi-criteria analysis process was completed to rank six options: bus service, bus network, road network, Quality Bus Corridor, BRT and LRT. The MCA demonstrates that an LRT solution from Maroochydore to Kawana is the highest performing option when assessed against a range of criteria and transport infrastructure. LRT performed the strongest in the Core scenario as well as across all sensitivities. BRT performs second, and this result is also consistent across all sensitives. Based on these results of the MCA, the following options were recommended for progression to economic analysis and more detailed assessment through development of a Cost Benefit Analysis:

1 LRT
2 BRT
3 Quality Bus Corridor.

Only the LRT option and potentially the BRT option are considered to have significant benefits in achieving the important land use criterion. The Quality Bus Corridor option, although it performs well in terms of transport and cost, cannot achieve the full range of benefits sought for the SCMT Project in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor

10.5 Reference projects

The LRT and BRT have been analysed and designed based on the initial operating assumptions shown in Table 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alignment length</td>
<td>13.6km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of stations</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of substations</td>
<td>LRT – 9 at approximately 2km intervals, plus the depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BRT – Assumed to be battery electric, 3 recharging at both terminus and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deep recharging in the depot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures – number of</td>
<td>5 bridges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>major bridges</td>
<td>Canal within Maroochydore CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucker Creek on Brisbane Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mooloolah River North on Brisbane Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mooloolah River South on Brisbane Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depot location</td>
<td>Single site for stabling and maintenance is yet to be confirmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System configuration</td>
<td>Shared driver amenities at both terminus locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey time – BRT</td>
<td>Proposed to use standard 30-minute journey time with a 15-minute change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over at each terminus (recharging, driver amenity break, driver change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for driver break)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey time – LRT</td>
<td>Proposed to use standard 30-minute journey time with a 6-minute change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over at each terminus (driver amenity break, driver change for driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>break)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle size / length – BRT</td>
<td>Based on Brisbane Metro. Approximate values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length – 24 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static width – 2.55 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger capacity – 60 seated, 70 standing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle size / length – LRT</td>
<td>Based on Gold Coast Light Rail. Approximate values:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length – 45 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static width – 2.65 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger capacity – 80 seated, 220 standing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item Assumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle floor height</td>
<td>300 to 350mm (100 per cent low floor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform height</td>
<td>300 to 350mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum gradient</td>
<td>8 per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed performance</td>
<td>To match adjacent road, posted speed limits up to 80km per hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running way – BRT</td>
<td>High strength asphalt pavements for running way, except for concrete pavement at the following locations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Stops including approach and departures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Intersections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service pattern – length of services</td>
<td>All services run full length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overhead power line – LRT</td>
<td>Assumed 100 percent overhead power line for LRT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The key features of the Quality Bus Corridor option include:

- Improved vehicles – higher specification branded vehicles, articulated or double decker buses
- High frequency bus services with frequency of at least eight services per hour and headways of 7.5 minutes or less
- Pre-paid services only with no cash fares, similar to Brisbane BUZ services
- Dedicated bus lanes along Aerodrome Road, Rose Street to Horton Parade and Nicklin Way (two road lanes, one bus lane and active transport).

### 10.6 Transport outcomes

Transport modelling has been conducted to compare the transport outcomes of the No-intervention base case and the With-LRT project case that assumes the intervention of the LRT. This focussed on Stage 1 of the trunk light rail route between Maroochydore and SCUH precinct.

The headline statistics from modelling the Stage 1 With-LRT project (light rail) intervention include:

The headline statistics from modelling the With-LRT project case against the Without-project base case include:

- There are 390,500 fewer vehicle kilometres travelled within the Sunshine Coast in 2041. This represents a reduction in Vehicle Kilometres Travelled (VKT) of approximately 2.4 per cent when compared to the Without-project base case.
- Within the Sunshine Coast LGA there are 49,400 PT trips each day in 2041, more than double the number of PT in the Without-project base case.
- PT mode share within the Sunshine Coast LGA increases from 1.2 per cent in the base case to 2.3 per cent.
- Change in mode share is far more pronounced when considering trips within the Stage 1 Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor. In this area, PT mode share increases from 1.3 per cent to 4.5 per cent with the intervention of the LRT.
- The total number of PT trips that start and finish within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor increases from 5,100 to 20,400 trips per day with the intervention of the LRT, representing a 300 per cent increase.
- 8.8 per cent of journeys to work, within the Stage 1 Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, are made by PT. This represents a step change in travel behaviour when compared to the base scenario which observes a mere 1.5 per cent of journeys to work made by PT.
- 31,100 trips per day are made on LRT in 2041.
- There is significant demand for the park ‘n’ ride around Mooloolah with approximately 1,100 car fed PT trips per day. This is due to the location’s high car accessibility relative to the mass transit station.
- The highest number of transfers from bus to LRT occur at the SCUH (590), Mooloolah River crossing (470) and the Maroochydore Transit Centre (330). This supports the case for a bus-LRT interchange facility at the Mooloolah River crossing.
• The pattern of development is far more concentrated around existing urban areas. As a result, 85 per cent of all boardings on LRT services are walk-up trips.

• 23,000 fewer car trips start in the Sunshine Coast LGA each day when compared to the base scenario. Of these, 19,800 are local trips that have moved completely to alternative modes due to more realistic alternatives and land use that support alternatives to car-based trips.

• A light rail intervention is projected to increase the resident population in the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor by at least 19,597 people.

• An increase in population means there are more trips made by car each day within the Sunshine Coast Urban Corridor, but the number of car trips per person reduces by 8 per cent with intervention of the LRT.

10.7 Social and environmental impacts

Potential social impacts were identified as urban growth/land use challenges, impacts to the transport network and economic development impacts. Identification of social impacts is ongoing and will continue to be identified and mitigated throughout the business case process. Currently, there are no fatal flaws that affect the feasibility of the Project.

The Environmental Assessment to date confirmed that:

• No known Indigenous heritage sites will be impacted by the Project
• No previously registered non-indigenous heritage sites will be impacted by the Project area
• No mapped vegetation within the Project area, therefore the likelihood of threatened ecological communities occurring is low
• No high-risk areas for protected plants were identified on the Flora Survey Trigger Map within the Project area
• No essential habitat is mapped within the Project area. However, essential habitat is mapped directly adjacent to the Project area on Maroochydore Beach
• No scenic routes, as identified in Schedule 2 of the Sunshine Coast Regional Plan 2014, intersect or occur in proximity to the Project and the development does not impact on regional or sub-regional inter-urban break.
• The SCC is working towards carbon neutrality, with key developments in sustainable transport, biodiversity, land use and social planning initiatives for the region. The SCMT Project is part of the objective to improve sustainable transport methods within the Sunshine Coast region.
• The Project is expected to result in a reduction in local contributions to regional Green House Gas (GHG) emissions. This reduction in GHG emissions will assist in the transition to a lower carbon economy and in mitigating contributions to climate change. However, it is anticipated that there may be short term impacts (i.e. increase in GHG emissions) associated with construction activities of the Project. The PBC and subsequent DBC will assess the environmental benefits of the Project including reducing carbon emissions through increased PT mode share.

10.8 Cost estimates

The project cost estimate consists of two key categories:

• Capital costs incurred during the development and construction phase
• Operating costs based on a 30-year operating period.

The cost estimating techniques applied are based on the nature of the activity being estimated and the level of design information available. The techniques used range from:

• A fully resource-based estimate of labour, plant and materials priced using local market rates
• Allowances for packages of work based on actual costs obtained from completed comparable projects and adjusted to suit local market conditions.

The cost estimates for the LRT and BRT options are undergoing a process of value engineering to and peer review to provide assurance to the cost components of subsequent economic, financial and commercial analyses. All costs will present a range from P50 to P90 risk, in accordance with relevant Government frameworks and guidelines.
## 10.9 Benefits

Figure 16 is a summary of the benefits that are expected to be quantified in the economic assessment for SCMT. All of the ‘Transport benefits’ and ‘WEBs’ will be measured across both the first round and second round estimation. However, it is only under the second-round scenario that urban renewal benefits from land use change will be realised.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport benefits</th>
<th>Road user benefits</th>
<th>Community and broader benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public transport user benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced environmental externals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT travel time savings</td>
<td>Road user travel time savings</td>
<td>Reduced crash costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farebox revenue-resource correction</td>
<td>Reduced vehicle operating costs</td>
<td>Health benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved vehicle amenity</td>
<td>Freight benefits</td>
<td>Reduced road maintenance costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved stop/station amenity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Residual value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 16: Economic benefits</td>
<td>Residual value of assets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 16: Economic benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban renewal benefits</th>
<th></th>
<th>Environmental and sustainability benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure cost savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land value uplift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11 Next Steps

11.1 Introduction

This report has documented the interim findings of the SCMT Project completed to date for the PBC. The PBC is due to be completed by mid-2020 prior to commencement of the DBC.

11.2 Complete the PBC

The key outstanding tasks and inputs to the PBC are listed in Table 16.

Table 16: Remaining tasks for the PBC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Current Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference Project – BRT</td>
<td>Further refinement and development of BRT business case chapter</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Project – Quality Bus Corridor</td>
<td>Further refinement and development of the Quality Bus Corridor business case chapter</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport Outcomes</td>
<td>Further refinement and development of the transport outcomes for the BRT and Quality Bus Corridor and development of the business case chapters</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost and Risk</td>
<td>Additional value engineering tasks</td>
<td>January 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Analysis</td>
<td>Complete analysis, pending required inputs</td>
<td>March 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and Commercial Analysis</td>
<td>Complete analysis, pending required inputs</td>
<td>March 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordability Analysis</td>
<td>Complete analysis, pending required inputs</td>
<td>April 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review</td>
<td>State and Federal agency review</td>
<td>April - May 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report PBC Findings to Council</td>
<td>Presentation of findings to Council</td>
<td>June 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBC</td>
<td>Completion of the DBC</td>
<td>End of 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Decision &amp; Procurement</td>
<td>Indicative and subject to State and Commonwealth funding approval</td>
<td>End of 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Indicative and subject to approval and funding commitments by State and Federal governments</td>
<td>By 2027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.3 Future phases of the project

The future phases of the Sunshine Coast Mass Transit Project are expected to be as follows

1. Complete the PBC in accordance with Building Queensland’s Business Case Development framework
2. Complete the DBC in partnership with the TMR as agreed, with completion expected by December 2021
3. Commission peer view and assurance audits
4. Obtain approval of the DBC by the Building Queensland Board and include the Project in the Queensland Infrastructure Pipeline Report
5. Obtain agreement with Infrastructure Australia that the project is supported based on the DBC and obtain listing on the national Infrastructure Priority List
6. Obtain capital budget funding from both the Queensland and Australian Governments
7. Undertake procurement to deliver the Project
8. Deliver the capital works and operational readiness activities
9. Obtain safety accreditation and commence operations of the Project
10. Progress corridor planning and design to support urban renewal within the Project catchment as part of the SCMT Business Case process.